

Original citation:

Schlichting, Marco, Karoubi, Max and Weibel, Charles. (2016) The Witt group of real algebraic varieties. *Journal of Topology*, 9 (4). pp. 1257-1302.

Permanent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/81620>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

Published version: <https://doi.org/10.1112/jtopol/jtw024>

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

THE WITT GROUP OF REAL ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

MAX KAROUBI, MARCO SCHLICHTING, AND CHARLES WEIBEL

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to compare the algebraic Witt group $W(V)$ of quadratic forms for an algebraic variety V over \mathbb{R} with a new topological invariant, $WR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$, based on symmetric forms on Real vector bundles (in the sense of Atiyah) on the space of complex points of V . This invariant lies between $W(V)$ and the group $KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ of \mathbb{R} -linear topological vector bundles on the space $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ of real points of V .

We show that the comparison maps $W(V) \rightarrow WR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $WR(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ are isomorphisms modulo bounded 2-primary torsion. We give precise bounds for their exponent of the kernel and cokernel, depending upon the dimension of V . These results improve theorems of Knebusch, Mahé and Brumfiel.

Along the way, we prove comparison theorem between algebraic and topological hermitian K -theory, and homotopy fixed point theorems for the latter. We also give a new proof (and a generalization) of a theorem of Brumfiel.

CONTENTS

1. Real Witt and Real Hermitian K -theory	5
2. Real Hermitian K -theory and equivariant KO	8
3. Algebraic K -theory of varieties over \mathbb{R} , revisited	13
4. Witt groups for curves over \mathbb{R}	15
5. Williams' conjecture in Real Hermitian K -theory	21
6. Brumfiel's theorem	24
7. Exponents for Real Witt groups	30
8. Exponents for $W(V)$	34
9. Co-Witt groups	39
10. Higher Witt and co-Witt groups of \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C}	42
Appendix A. Errata and addenda	47
Appendix B. Higher Witt groups of \mathbb{R}	48
Appendix C. Topological Hermitian K -theory of involutive Banach algebras	50
Appendix D. Williams' conjecture for Banach algebras	54
References	56

Date: June 17, 2016.

Schlichting was supported by EPSRC grant EP/M001113/1.

Weibel was supported by NSA and NSF grants, and by the IAS Fund for Math.

Let V be an algebraic variety defined over \mathbb{R} . The computation of its Witt ring $W(V)$ of quadratic forms is a classical problem which has attracted much attention. For instance, if the topological space $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ of \mathbb{R} -points of V has c components, there is a classical “signature map” $W(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^c$ [41, pp. 186–188]. To define it, note that a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form φ on an algebraic vector bundle over V yields a continuous family of bilinear forms φ_x on the vector spaces over the points x of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$; the signatures of the φ_x are constant on each connected component, and the signature map sends φ to the sequence of these signatures in \mathbb{Z}^c .

Knebusch [41], in analogy with results of Witt [69], asked whether the image of this signature map is a subgroup of finite index. This was established in special cases by Knebusch [40] (curves) and by Colliot-Thélène–Sansuc [15] (surfaces). The general case was obtained by Mahé [43, 44, 45] (V affine) and Mahé–Houdebine [46] (V projective). Building on the work of Mahé, this theorem was greatly generalized by Brumfiel [13], using the topological KO -group associated to the space $V_{\mathbb{R}}$. Brumfiel constructed a map

$$\gamma : W(V) \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathbb{Z}^c \oplus \widetilde{KO}(V_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

and showed that the kernel and cokernel of this map are 2-primary torsion groups. It follows that the cokernel is a finite group. A recent result of Totaro [62] shows that the kernel of γ can be infinite.

In this paper we introduce a finer invariant, $WR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$, which uses the space $X = V_{\mathbb{C}}$ of complex points of V , endowed with the involution coming from complex conjugation. Our construction is based on the fundamental paper of Atiyah [3], who introduced the term *Real space* (with a capital R) for a space X with involution and defined the *Real K-groups* $KR(X)$ of Real vector bundles on X . We mimic the definition of $W(V)$ in the setting of Witt groups to define a finitely generated abelian group for any Real space X , called the *Real Witt group* $WR(X)$.

We show that Brumfiel’s map γ factors through $WR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$, and that the kernel of the maps $\theta : W(V) \rightarrow WR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $W(V) \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ are (2-primary) torsion groups of *bounded* exponent 2^e and 2^{e+f+1} respectively, only depending upon the dimension d of V : $e = 2 + 4\lceil (d-2)/8 \rceil$, where $\lceil x \rceil$ is the least integer $n \geq x$, and f is the number of positive $i \leq 2d$ with $i = 0, 1, 2$ or $4 \bmod 8$ (see Theorems 8.4 and 8.6). Even better, if V is a curve we have $W(V) \cong WR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$; see Theorem 4.1.

Brumfiel’s map γ is not an isomorphism for arbitrary V ; for instance, γ is zero when V has no \mathbb{R} -points. In contrast, the map $W(V) \rightarrow WR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is always nonzero (unless $V = \emptyset$); a complex point $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow V$ yields a surjection $WR(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow WR(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ which is nonzero on $W(V)$. In short, $WR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a better invariant than $KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$.

A key step in the proofs of our results is a description of Real Grothendieck-Witt theory GR as a homotopy fixed point set, given

in Theorem 5.2; it is an analogue of a result for schemes [11] conjectured by B. Williams. The proofs also use the authors' computation of K -theory of real varieties in [34] and some older results related to Bott periodicity [30].

To our knowledge, the group $WR(X)$ has not been studied in the literature. We find this a bit surprising.

Similar results also hold for skew-symmetric forms on a variety over \mathbb{R} . We write ${}_{-1}GW(V)$, ${}_{-1}GR(X)$, etc. for the associated theories. See Theorems 2.9 and 5.7, for example. When we deal with both symmetric and antisymmetric forms, we use the notation ${}_{\varepsilon}GW(V)$, ${}_{\varepsilon}GR(X)$, etc., with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. (This essentially follows the notations in [30].)

Here is a more detailed description of our paper. In Section 1, we define the groups $GR(X)$ and $WR(X)$. In Section 2, we identify the Real Grothendieck-Witt group $GR(X)$ of a Real space X with the Grothendieck group $KO_G(X)$ of equivariant real vector bundles for $G = C_2$. In Section 3, we improve the results in our earlier paper [34], relating the algebraic K -theory of a variety V over \mathbb{R} to the KR -theory of its associated Real space.

In Section 4, we determine the Real Witt groups of smooth projective curves over \mathbb{R} ; see Theorems 4.6 and 4.7. (The calculation for any curve over \mathbb{R} may be determined from this.) Using results in later sections, we show that $W(V) \cong WR(V)$ for any curve. Given this identification, we recover some results of Knebusch [40] via topological arguments. We have placed these computations here because they illustrate and motivate the general results proved in other sections. Our explicit computation of $WR(V)$ uses a seemingly unknown relation between Atiyah's KR -theory and equivariant KO -theory, which we prove at the end of Appendix C.

In Section 5, we verify an analogue of a conjecture of Bruce Williams concerning the forgetful map $GR(X) \rightarrow KR(X)$.

In Section 6, we reprove and generalize Brumfiel's theorem [13], mentioned above. We then show (in Section 7) that $WR(X)$ is isomorphic to $KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ modulo *bounded* 2-groups. Combining these results, this implies that the map $W(V) \rightarrow WR(V)$ is an isomorphism modulo 2-primary torsion for every variety V over \mathbb{R} , with a finite cokernel.

In Section 8 we consider the signature map $W(V) \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ associated to an algebraic variety V over \mathbb{R} , mentioned above. We show that the kernels of both the signature map and the canonical map $W(V) \rightarrow WR(V)$ are 2-primary torsion groups of *bounded* exponent, with a bound which depends only on $\dim(V)$. Jeremy Jacobson [24] has given a different proof of a similar result. We also bound the exponent of the kernel and cokernel of $W(V) \rightarrow WR(V)$ when $\dim(V) \leq 8$. Analogous but weaker results are proved when $\dim(V) > 8$.

For $n > 0$, we define the higher Witt group $W_n(V)$ to be the cokernel of the hyperbolic map $K_n(V) \rightarrow GW_n(V)$. We also define the co-Witt

groups $W'_n(V)$ to be the kernels of the forgetful maps $GW_n(V) \rightarrow K_n(V)$. They differ from the Witt groups by groups of exponent 2.

In Section 9, we briefly consider the co-Witt groups $W'_n(V)$. If V is a smooth curve, we show that the kernel and cokernel of $W'_n(V) \rightarrow WR'_n(V)$ have exponent 2.

In Section 10, we determine the Witt groups $W_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $W_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $n > 0$. (This is for the trivial involution on \mathbb{C} .) In this range, we show that the map $W_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow KO_n$ is an isomorphism, except for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, when $W_n(\mathbb{R})$ injects into $KO_n \cong \mathbb{Z}$ as a subgroup of index 2. We also determine the co-Witt groups $W'_n(\mathbb{R})$ for $n > 0$.

The appendices, which are of independent interest, introduce technical results needed in the paper. In Appendix A, we correct some statements in our earlier paper [34], which we use in Example 2.5. In Appendix B, we recall some basic facts about Bott elements in Hermitian K -theory. These will be used in Sections 8, and 10.

Appendix C is devoted to the “fundamental theorem” in topological Hermitian K -theory in the context of involutive Banach algebras and Clifford modules as in Atiyah, Bott and Shapiro (see [4] and [25]). This appendix is in fact a recollection and a rewriting in a more readable form of an old paper of the first author [26, Section III].

Appendix D establishes a Hermitian analogue of the Lichtenbaum-Quillen conjecture in the framework of involutive Banach algebras.

Throughout this paper, we use the expression “ A has exponent e ” to mean that $e \cdot a = 0$ for every $a \in A$. When talking about vector bundles, we make a distinction between “Real” (for \mathbb{C} -antilinear) and “real” (for \mathbb{R} -linear). Another convention we use throughout this paper is to write X for the space $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ of complex points of a variety V defined over \mathbb{R} , while the space of real points is written $V_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Notation. The notation $K_0(V)$ and $GW_0(V)$ (resp., $KR(X)$ and $GR(X)$) refers to abelian groups: the Grothendieck and Grothendieck-Witt groups of algebraic vector bundles and their symmetric forms on V (resp., of Real topological bundles and their symmetric forms on X). The corresponding spectra are $\mathbb{K}(V)$, $\mathbb{G}W(V)$, $\mathbb{K}R(X)$ and $\mathbb{G}R(X)$. The spectrum $GW(V)$ has the same connective cover as $\mathbb{G}W(V)$, and will appear in Section 6 and Appendix D.

We write $W(V)$ and $WR(X)$ for the Witt group of V and the Real Witt group of X . We will also abuse notation and write $KR(V)$, $GR(V)$ and $WR(V)$ for $KR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$, $GR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $WR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to J. Rosenberg for pointing out the misstatement in [34, 1.8] which we describe in A.1. Conversations with P. Balmer, J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, M. Coste, J. Jacobson, Parimala, A. Ranicki and B. Totaro were also helpful.

1. REAL WITT AND REAL HERMITIAN K -THEORY

By a *Real space* we mean a CW complex X with an involution σ , i.e., an action of the cyclic group C_2 . By a *Real vector bundle* on X we mean a complex vector bundle E which is equipped with an involution (also called σ , by abuse), such that the projection $p : E \rightarrow X$ satisfies $p\sigma = \sigma p$ and for each point x of X the map $E_x \xrightarrow{\sigma} E_{\sigma x}$ is \mathbb{C} -antilinear. Following Atiyah [3], we define $KR(X)$ as the Grothendieck group of the category \mathcal{E}_X of Real vector bundles on X .

The dual E^* of a Real vector bundle is the dual of the underlying complex vector bundle; if $\phi_x \in E_x^*$ then $(\sigma\phi_x)(u) = \sigma(\phi_x(\sigma u))$, as in [3]. A *Real symmetric form* (E, φ) is a Real vector bundle E together with an isomorphism $\varphi : E \xrightarrow{\sim} E^*$ of Real vector bundles such that $\varphi = \varphi^*$. The hyperbolic forms $H(E) = (E \oplus E^*, h)$ play a special role. In fact, the category \mathcal{E}_X of Real vector bundles on X is a *Hermitian category* (= exact category with duality), which essentially means that it has a duality $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{*} \mathcal{E}^{op}$ and a natural isomorphism $E \xrightarrow{\sim} E^{**}$. (See [56, 2.1] for the precise definition.)

Definition 1.1. If \mathcal{E} is a Hermitian category, its Grothendieck-Witt group $GW_0(\mathcal{E})$ is the Grothendieck group of the category of symmetric forms (E, φ) with E in \mathcal{E} , modulo the relation that $[E, \varphi] = [H(L)]$ when E has a Lagrangian L (a subobject such that $L = L^\perp$).

The Witt group $W(\mathcal{E})$ is the cokernel of the hyperbolic map $H : K_0(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow GW_0(\mathcal{E})$, which sends $[E]$ to its associated hyperbolic form $H(E)$. Similarly, forgetting φ induces a functor from symmetric forms to \mathcal{E} , and hence a *forgetful* homomorphism $F : GW_0(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{E})$. The co-Witt group $W'(\mathcal{E})$ is the kernel of the map F .

If \mathcal{E} has an exact tensor product, then $GW_0(\mathcal{E})$ is a ring and $W(\mathcal{E})$ is a quotient ring, because the image of the hyperbolic map is an ideal of $GW_0(\mathcal{E})$. In this case, we refer to $GW_0(\mathcal{E})$ as the Grothendieck-Witt ring and refer to $W(\mathcal{E})$ as the Witt ring of \mathcal{E} .

If V is a scheme, we write $GW_0(V)$ for the Grothendieck-Witt ring of the Hermitian category of vector bundles on V , with the usual duality $E \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_V}(E, \mathcal{O}_V)$. The classical Witt ring $W(V)$ is the Witt ring of this category.

Definition 1.2. If X is a Real space, the Grothendieck-Witt ring of the Hermitian category \mathcal{E}_X of Real vector bundles on X is written as $GR(X)$. The Real Witt ring of X , $WR(X)$, and the Real co-Witt group, $WR'(X)$, are defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} WR(X) &= \text{coker}(KR(X) \xrightarrow{H} GR(X)), \\ WR'(X) &= \text{ker}(GR(X) \xrightarrow{F} KR(X)). \end{aligned}$$

If V is a variety over \mathbb{R} , its *associated Real space* is the topological space $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of the complex points of V , provided with the involution induced by complex conjugation. As Atiyah observed [3], any algebraic vector bundle on V determines a Real vector bundle on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, and the resulting functor sends the dual vector bundle on V to the dual Real vector bundle on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. That is, we have a Hermitian functor from algebraic vector bundles on V to $\mathcal{E}_{V_{\mathbb{C}}}$. This induces natural maps

$$GW_0(V) \rightarrow GR(V_{\mathbb{C}}), \quad W(V) \rightarrow WR(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \text{ and } W'(V) \rightarrow WR'(V_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

By abuse of notation, we shall write $KR(V)$, $GR(V)$, $WR(V)$ and $WR'(V)$ for the abelian groups $KR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$, $GR(V_{\mathbb{C}})$, etc. (The corresponding topological spectra are written in boldface or blackboard bold. We will occasionally write X for $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. As we showed in [34, 1.6], if V is quasi-projective but not projective then $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a compact Real subspace X_0 as a Real deformation retract, constructed as the complement of an equivariant regular neighborhood of the complement of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ in a projective variety $\bar{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Cohomology theories. Following Atiyah [3, §2], we may form cohomology theories on (finite dimensional) Real spaces by defining $KR^{-n}(X)$ and $GR^{-n}(X)$ for $n > 0$ as in topological K -theory, *i.e.*, by considering bundles on suspensions $S^n \wedge X^+$, where $X^+ = X \cup \{\text{pt}\}$ and S^n has the trivial involution. However, we will often write $KR_n(X)$ for $KR^{-n}(X)$ and $GR_n(X)$ for $GR^{-n}(X)$ in order to have a consistent notation with the algebraic analogues. As usual, $KR_0(X)$ and $GR_0(X)$ agree with $KR(X)$ and $GR(X)$.

For example, if $V = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R})$ (so $X = \text{pt}$ is a point), $KR_n(\text{pt}) \cong KO_n(\text{pt})$, $GR_n(\text{pt}) \cong KO_n(\text{pt})^2$ and $WR_n(\text{pt}) \cong KO_n(\text{pt})$. When $n = 0$, we have $GW_0(V) \cong GR(\text{pt})$ and $W(V) \cong WR(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. If $V = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, so X is $S^{1,0}$ (two points with the nontrivial involution), we have $KR_n(S^{1,0}) = KU_n$, and $GR(S^{1,0}) \cong KO_n$ (as we will see in Example 2.4), so $WR_n(S^{1,0})$ is the cokernel of the canonical map $KU_n \rightarrow KO_n$. When $n = 0$, we have $W(\mathbb{C}) \cong WR(S^{1,0}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$.

If V is a curve then $K_0(V)$ can differ from $KR(V)$, even modulo 2 (see [34, 4.12.1]), and $GW_0(V)$ differs from $GR(V)$, but we shall see in Theorem 4.1 that $W(V) \cong WR(V)$.

There are two non-connective spectra associated with the abelian group $GW_0(V)$. One, which we write as $GW(V)$, arises from applying a hermitian analogue of the Waldhausen infinite loop space machine (iterated S_{\bullet}) to the category of strictly perfect complexes with the usual duality $E^* = \text{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}_V)$; see [58, Defn. 5.4]. The other, which we write as $\mathbb{G}W(V)$, was introduced in [57] and is called the ‘‘Karoubi-Grothendieck-Witt spectrum’’ in [58]; it is obtained using algebraic suspensions; see also Definition 8.6 and Remark 8.8 of *loc. cit.*. There is a canonical map $GW(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W(V)$. We write $GW_n(V)$ and $\mathbb{G}W_n(V)$ for the homotopy groups of the spectra $GW(V)$ and $\mathbb{G}W(V)$. Since

we are assuming that V is always a quasi-projective variety, the maps $GW_n(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_n(V)$ are isomorphisms for $n \geq 0$; see [58, 8.14]. When V is regular then these maps are isomorphisms for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By [58, 9.7], $\mathbb{G}W(V)$ satisfies Nisnevich descent; $GW(V)$ does not.

As is customary nowadays, we can consider the shifted Grothendieck-Witt groups $\mathbb{G}W_n^{[i]}(\mathcal{E}) = \pi_n \mathbb{G}W^{[i]}(X)$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$) and have $\mathbb{G}W_n(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{G}W_n^{[0]}(\mathcal{E})$, as in [58, 5.7]. These groups fit into long exact sequences

$$(1.3) \quad \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_n^{[i-1]}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{F} K_n(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{G}W_n^{[i]}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_{n-1}^{[i-1]}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow .$$

where F and H are the forgetful and hyperbolic maps and K_n stands for the homotopy groups of the non-connective K -theory spectrum; see [58, Thm. 8.11].

Following Karoubi, we shall define the n -th higher Witt group $W_n^{[i]}(\mathcal{E})$ of \mathcal{E} to be the cokernel of $K_n(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{G}W_n^{[i]}(\mathcal{E})$. When $n = 0$, $W_0^{[i]}(\mathcal{E})$ coincides with the group $W^i(\mathcal{E})$ defined by Balmer in [5], and $W_n(\mathcal{E}) = W_n^{[0]}(\mathcal{E})$. (If V is smooth and $n < 0$, then $W_n^{[i]}(V)$ also agrees with Balmer's $W^{i-n}(V)$.) We also set ${}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_n(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{G}W_n^{[2]}(\mathcal{E})$ and ${}_{-1}W_n(\mathcal{E}) = W_n^{[2]}(\mathcal{E})$.

In Section 6 and Appendix D we will also use the spectra $GW^{[i]}(V)$ and its homotopy groups $GW_n^{[i]}(V) = \pi_n GW^{[i]}(V)$, which generalize $GW^{[0]}(V) = GW(V)$. The spectra $GW^{[i]}(V)$ have the same connective cover as $\mathbb{G}W^{[i]}(V)$, but its negative degree groups $GW_n^{[i]}(V)$ are the Balmer Witt groups $W^{i-n}(V)$ for $n < 0$; see [58, 5.7]. These are the Grothendieck-Witt spectra associated with the category of chain complexes of vector bundles on V and duality $E \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_V}(E, \mathcal{O}_V[i])$. Again, the comparison map $GW_n^{[n]}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_n^{[i]}(V)$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq 0$ in general, and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ when V is regular.

When \mathcal{E} is the (Hermitian) category of algebraic vector bundles on V , we shall adopt the classical notation $U_n(V)$ for $\mathbb{G}W_n^{[-1]}(\mathcal{E})$, following [26], so that the sequence (1.3) reduces to the classical sequence

$$(1.4) \quad \rightarrow U_n(V) \xrightarrow{F} K_n(V) \xrightarrow{H} \mathbb{G}W_n(V) \rightarrow U_{n-1}(V) \rightarrow .$$

Although $\mathbb{G}W_{n+1}^{[1]}(\mathcal{E})$ would be classically written as the group $V_n(V)$, we will avoid that awkward notation.

When \mathcal{E} is the Hermitian category \mathcal{E}_X of Real vector bundles on X , we shall write $GR_n^{[i]}(X)$ for $GW_n^{[i]}(\mathcal{E}_X)$. Following this tradition, we shall write $UR_n(X)$ for $GR_n^{[-1]}(X)$ but avoid the awkward VR_n notation. In this case, (1.3) becomes the exact sequence:

$$(1.5) \quad KR_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow GR_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow UR_n(X) \rightarrow KR_n(X) \rightarrow GR_n(X).$$

In particular, $WR(X)$ is a subgroup of $UR_{-1}(X)$. By [58, §5.4], the map $\mathbb{G}W_*^{[*]}(V) \rightarrow GR_*^{[*]}(\mathbf{V}\mathbb{C})$ is a homomorphism of bigraded rings.

2. REAL HERMITIAN K -THEORY AND EQUIVARIANT KO

Let us write G for the cyclic group $\{1, \sigma\}$, so that a Real space X is a G -CW complex. The forgetful functor from \mathcal{E}_X to the category of G -equivariant \mathbb{R} -linear vector bundles on X defines a forgetful functor from $KR(X)$ to the Grothendieck group $KO_G(X)$ of G -equivariant \mathbb{R} -linear bundles on X .

Given a G -equivariant \mathbb{R} -linear vector bundle F , we may extend the involution on F to an anti-linear involution on the complex bundle $\mathbb{C} \otimes F$ by $\sigma(v + iw) = \sigma(v) - i\sigma(w)$ ($v, w \in F_x$). This makes $\mathbb{C} \otimes F$ into a Real bundle on X , and defines a homomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad \rho : KO_G(X) \rightarrow KR(X).$$

Given a G -invariant Riemannian metric on F , viewed as an \mathbb{R} -linear symmetric form $\theta : F \rightarrow F^*$ on F , the formula $\theta(v + iw) = \theta(v) + i\theta(w)$ defines a Real symmetric form $(\mathbb{C} \otimes F, \theta)$ (as $\theta\sigma = \sigma\theta$). Since the metric is well defined up to homotopy, the isomorphism class of $(\mathbb{C} \otimes F, \theta)$ is well defined. This defines a homomorphism $\psi : KO_G(X) \rightarrow GR(X)$ which refines the map ρ in the sense given by our next result.

Theorem 2.2. *For any Real space X , the homomorphism*

$$\psi : KO_G(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} GR(X)$$

is an isomorphism of rings. The composition of the isomorphism ψ with the forgetful map $GR(X) \rightarrow KR(X)$ is the map ρ in (2.1).

The hyperbolic map $KR(X) \rightarrow GR(X)$ is the composition of the isomorphism $\psi : KO_G(X) \cong GR(X)$ with the map

$$KR(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X)$$

which associates to a Real bundle its underlying real vector bundle, equipped with the induced action of G .

Proof. We first show that the map $KO_G(X) \rightarrow GR(X)$ is a ring homomorphism. Given two G -equivariant real vector bundles F_1 and F_2 , with G -invariant metrics, the tensor product of the metrics is a metric on $F_1 \otimes F_2$, and $\mathbb{C} \otimes F_1 \otimes F_2$ with the associated form is the product of the $(\mathbb{C} \otimes F_i, \theta_i)$, as claimed.

Next, we show that $KO_G(X) \rightarrow GR(X)$ is an isomorphism. Suppose that E is a Real vector bundle with a nondegenerate Real symmetric form θ . If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a G -invariant Hermitian metric on the complex bundle underlying E , define $T : E \rightarrow E$ by the formula $\langle Tv, w \rangle = \theta(v, w)$. Then T is \mathbb{R} -linear and self-adjoint, because

$$\langle v, Tw \rangle = \langle Tw, v \rangle = \theta(w, v) = \theta(v, w) = \langle Tv, w \rangle.$$

It follows that all eigenvalues of T are real and nonzero. Changing the metric up to homotopy, we may even assume that ± 1 are the only eigenvalues of T . Since the metric and θ are G -invariant, so is T . Thus the family of $+1$ eigenspaces $F_x \subset E_x$ form an equivariant real

sub-bundle F of E , and the subspaces iF_x are the -1 -eigenspaces of T , i.e., E is the complexification of F , and F is independent of the choice of Hermitian metric. The assignment $(E, \theta) \mapsto F$ defines a map $GR(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X)$, and a routine check shows that it is inverse to the map $KO_G(X) \rightarrow GR(X)$.

It is clear that the composition $KO_G(X) \rightarrow GR(X) \rightarrow KR(X)$ is $F \mapsto \mathbb{C} \otimes F$. Moreover, the composition $KR(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X) \rightarrow GR(X)$ sends a Real bundle E (with a G -invariant Riemannian metric) to the Real symmetric form $(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} E, \theta)$, which is isomorphic to the hyperbolic form $(E \oplus E^*, h)$. This verifies the rest of the assertions. \square

Remark 2.2.1. By taking appropriate suspensions of X^+ (i.e., X with a disjoint basepoint), we also get isomorphisms $GR^{-n}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} KO_G^{-n}(X)$ for $n > 0$, as well as quotient isomorphisms

$$WR^{-n}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{coker} \{KR^{-n}(X) \rightarrow KO_G^{-n}(X)\}.$$

Since these isomorphisms are compatible with the external tensor product of vector bundles, we have \mathbb{Z} -graded ring isomorphisms

$$GR^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} KO_G^*(X) \text{ and } WR^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{coker} \{KR^*(X) \rightarrow KO_G^*(X)\}.$$

This generalizes the observation in Definition 1.1 that $WR(X)$ is a quotient ring of $GR(X)$.

As mentioned after Definition 1.2, quasi-projective varieties which are not compact still have the homotopy type of a finite G -CW complex. Recall our notational convention that we write GR_n for GR^{-n} and WR_n for WR^{-n} .

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that X has the homotopy type of a finite G -CW complex, such as the Real space associated to a variety over \mathbb{R} . Then the groups $GR_n(X)$ and $WR_n(X)$ are finitely generated and 8-periodic with respect to n .*

Proof. As both $KR^*(X)$ and $KO_G^*(X)$ are 8-periodic, and homotopy invariant, this is immediate from Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.2.1. \square

Examples 2.4. (a) If G acts trivially on X , $WR(X) \cong WR'(X) \cong KO(X)$ as abelian groups. Indeed, $KR(X) \cong KO(X)$, $GR(X) \cong KO_G(X) \cong KO(X) \oplus KO(X)$; the map $KR(X) \rightarrow GR(X)$ is identified with the diagonal, while the forgetful map is identified with addition.

In this case, the cokernel of $KO(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X)$ is isomorphic to the ring $KO(X)$, so by the above remarks the map $WR(X) \rightarrow KO(X)$ is a ring isomorphism. This is a version of Brumfield's generalization of the signature map $W(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^c$ mentioned in the Introduction.

(b) At the other extreme, when G acts freely on X , Theorem 2.2 allows us to identify the hyperbolic map with the map

$$KR(X) \rightarrow KO(X/G) \cong KO_G(X) \cong GR(X).$$

Example 2.5. Following Atiyah's notation, let $S^{p,0}$ denote the sphere S^{p-1} with the antipodal involution; $S^{p,0}/G$ is the $(p-1)$ -dimensional real projective space, \mathbb{RP}^{p-1} . If $p \geq 3$, the isomorphism $KR(S^{p,0}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus KO^{p+1}(\text{pt})$ is derived in Appendix A.1, and we have $GR(S^{p,0}) \cong KO(\mathbb{RP}^{p-1})$ by Theorem 2.2 and Example 2.4(b), so the hyperbolic map is described as a sum

$$KR(S^{p,0}) \cong KO^0(\text{pt}) \oplus KO^{p+1}(\text{pt}) \rightarrow KO(\mathbb{RP}^{p-1})$$

The group $KO(\mathbb{RP}^{p-1})$ has been computed by Adams [1]: it is the direct sum of the rank summand $KO^0(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and a cyclic group of exponent 2^f , where f is the number of integers i in the range $0 < i < p$ with $i \equiv 0, 1, 2$ or $4 \pmod{8}$ (see for instance [28, IV.6.46]). If $p \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{8}$, the second component $KO^{p+1}(\text{pt}) \rightarrow KO(\mathbb{RP}^{p-1})$ must be reduced to 0, because $KO^{p+1}(\text{pt}) = 0$. Thus the Witt group is

$$WR(S^{p,0}) \cong \widetilde{KO}(\mathbb{RP}^{p-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^f\mathbb{Z}$$

if $p \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{8}$. For the other values of p , the Witt group equals either $\mathbb{Z}/2^f$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2^{f-1}$. To see this, let r be maximal such that $r < p$ and $r \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{8}$. Then $KO(\mathbb{RP}^{p-1}) \rightarrow KO(\mathbb{RP}^{r-1})$ is surjective with kernel $\mathbb{Z}/2$, and the composition from $KO^{p+1}(\text{pt})$ to $KO(\mathbb{RP}^{r-1})$ factors through $KO^{r+1}(\text{pt}) = 0$ by naturality.

This example shows that we can get arbitrary 2-primary order in the topological Witt groups $WR(S^{p,0})$ by varying p .

Example 2.6. Let V' be a complex variety, and V this variety regarded as defined over \mathbb{R} . By choosing real coordinates (z, \bar{z}) on V , we see that the Real space X of V is $G \times Y$, where $Y = V'(\mathbb{C})$. The Real Witt group $WR(V)$ is the cokernel of $KR(G \times Y) \rightarrow KO_G(G \times Y)$; this map is the realification map in topological K -theory $KU(Y) \rightarrow KO(Y)$, since $KR(G \times Y) = KU(Y)$ and $KO_G(G \times Y) = KO(Y)$. Of course, $W(V)$ is an algebra over $WR(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Example 2.7. When G acts freely on X , there is a canonical element γ of $GR(X)$ and hence $WR(X)$, given by the symmetric form -1 on the trivial complex bundle $E = X \times \mathbb{C}$ with involution $\sigma(x, z) = (\sigma(x), \bar{z})$. Since it has rank 1, γ is nonzero; its image is nonzero under the augmentation $WR(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ defined by any connected component of X/G . There is also an \mathbb{R} -linear line bundle $L = X \times_G \mathbb{R}$ over X/G , associated to the principal G -bundle $X \rightarrow X/G$ (where G acts on \mathbb{R} by the sign representation); the isomorphism $KO(X/G) \xrightarrow{\cong} KO_G(X)$ sends L to L_X , the trivial bundle $X \times \mathbb{R}$ with the involution $(x, t) \mapsto (\sigma(x), -t)$, and the isomorphism

$$\psi : KO_G(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} GR(X)$$

of Theorem 2.2 identifies L_X with the canonical element γ .

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that G acts freely on X . If F is an \mathbb{R} -linear G -vector bundle on X with $\psi(F) = (E, \varphi)$ then $\psi(F \otimes L_X) = (E, -\varphi)$.*

Hence the composition $GR(X) \rightarrow KR(X) \xrightarrow{H} GR(X)$ sends $\psi(F)$ to $\psi(F \otimes (1 + L_X))$, and $WR(X)$ is a quotient of $KO_G(X)/(1 + L_X)$.

Proof. Since ψ is a ring homomorphism, it suffices to recall from Example 2.7 that $\psi(L_X)$ is $\gamma = (X \times \mathbb{C}, -1)$. \square

The Grothendieck-Witt group $_{-1}GR(X)$, which is associated to skew-symmetric forms, is related to the Grothendieck group $KR_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$ of Real quaternion bundles on X by Theorem 2.9 below. The cohomology theory for $KR_{\mathbb{H}}$ is developed in [36]; here is a 1-paragraph summary.

Letting $\sigma : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ denote conjugation by j , a *Real quaternion bundle* on a Real space X is a quaternion bundle E (each fiber E_x has the structure of a left \mathbb{H} -module) with an involution τ on E compatible with the involution on X such that each map $\tau : E_x \rightarrow E_{\sigma(x)}$ satisfies

$$\tau(a \cdot e) = \sigma(a) \cdot \tau(e), \quad a \in \mathbb{H}, \quad e \in E_x.$$

Since $\sigma(i) = -i$, the underlying complex vector bundle has the structure of a Real vector bundle in the sense of Atiyah [3].

We write $KR_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$ for the Grothendieck group of Real quaternion bundles on X . Passing to the underlying Real vector bundle induces a canonical map $KR_{\mathbb{H}}(X) \rightarrow KR(X)$. Here is the skew-symmetric analogue of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.9. *The Grothendieck-Witt group $_{-1}GR(X)$ of a Real space X is naturally isomorphic to $KR_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$.*

Using this isomorphism, the forgetful map $_{-1}GR(X) \rightarrow KR(X)$ may be identified with the canonical map $KR_{\mathbb{H}}(X) \rightarrow KR(X)$.

Proof. Given a Real quaternion bundle E , choose a τ -equivariant Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the underlying complex bundle. Replacing the metric by $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle + \overline{\langle je_1, je_2 \rangle}$, we may also assume that $\langle je_1, je_2 \rangle$ is the complex conjugate of $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$. Then θ , defined by

$$\theta(e_1, e_2) = \langle je_1, e_2 \rangle,$$

is a skew-symmetric \mathbb{C} -bilinear form: $\theta(ae_1, be_2) = ab\theta(e_1, e_2)$ and

$$\theta(e_2, e_1) = \langle je_2, e_1 \rangle = \overline{\langle e_1, je_2 \rangle} = \langle je_1, j^2 e_2 \rangle = -\theta(e_1, e_2).$$

Thus (E, τ, θ) defines an element in $_{-1}GR(X)$. Since the metric is well defined up to homotopy, this yields a map $KR_{\mathbb{H}}(X) \rightarrow _{-1}GR(X)$. By inspection, the composite with the forgetful map $_{-1}GR(X) \rightarrow KR(X)$ is the canonical map $KR_{\mathbb{H}}(X) \rightarrow KR(X)$.

Conversely, suppose that E is a Real vector bundle, equipped with a non-degenerate skew-symmetric \mathbb{C} -bilinear form θ . Choose a σ -equivariant Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on E , and define the automorphisms J, J^*

of E by $\langle Je_1, e_2 \rangle = \theta(e_1, e_2) = \overline{\langle e_1, J^*e_2 \rangle}$. (See [28, Ex. I.9.21 and I.9.22c].) Then J commutes with σ and is complex antilinear:

$$\langle J(e_1), e_2 \rangle = \theta(e_1, e_2) = i\theta(e_1, e_2) = i\langle Je_1, e_2 \rangle = \langle (-i)Je_1, e_2 \rangle.$$

Moreover, $J^* = -J$ because

$$\langle Je_1, e_2 \rangle = \theta(e_1, e_2) = -\theta(e_2, e_1) = -\overline{\langle e_2, J^*e_1 \rangle} = -\langle J^*e_1, e_2 \rangle.$$

Since $\langle JJ^*e, e \rangle = \langle J^*e, J^*e \rangle > 0$ for all e , we may change the metric up to homotopy to assume that $JJ^* = 1$ and hence that $J^2 = -1$. Thus (E, i, J) is a quaternionic bundle on X .

Since the choices are well defined up to homotopy, this gives a map from ${}_{-1}GR(X)$ to $KR_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$. By inspection, this map is an inverse to the map in the first paragraph. \square

Corollary 2.10. *Suppose that X has the homotopy type of a finite G -CW complex, such as the Real space associated to a variety over \mathbb{R} . Then the groups ${}_{-1}GR_n(X)$ and ${}_{-1}WR_n(X)$ are finitely generated and 8-periodic with respect to n .*

The groups $GR_n^{[i]}(X)$ are all finitely generated, 4-periodic in i and 8-periodic in n .

Proof. We have $GR_n^{[i]}(X) \cong GR_n^{[i+4]}(X)$ by [58, 5.9]. The finitely generation is obtained from Corollary 2.3, (1.3) and induction on i . \square

Remark 2.11. We can also introduce comparison Grothendieck-Witt groups $GW_n^c(V) = \pi_n \mathbb{G}W^c(V)$ which fit into exact sequences

$$\mathbb{G}W_{n+1}(V) \rightarrow GR_{n+1}(V) \rightarrow GW_n^c(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_n(V) \rightarrow GR_n(V).$$

Here $GW^c(V)$ is the homotopy fiber of $\mathbb{G}W(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\mathbb{R}(X)$. This sequence will be used repeatedly in the next few sections.

3. ALGEBRAIC K -THEORY OF VARIETIES OVER \mathbb{R} , REVISITED

In this section we revisit the results found in our paper [34] and generalize them in two directions. Theorem 3.1 below gives a sharper bound in the comparison of algebraic K -theory with Atiyah's Real K -theory, and also drops the assumption of smoothness (thanks to a better understanding of varieties over \mathbb{R} of infinite étale dimension). To state the result, we need some notation.

Given a variety V , let $\mathbb{K}(V)$ denote the (non-connective) spectrum representing the algebraic K -theory of V . We write $K_n(V)$ for $\pi_n \mathbb{K}(V)$ and $K_n(V; \mathbb{Z}/q)$ for the homotopy groups $\pi_n(\mathbb{K}(V); \mathbb{Z}/q)$ of $\mathbb{K}(V)$ with coefficients \mathbb{Z}/q .

If X is a Real space, let $\mathbb{KR}(X)$ denote the spectrum representing Atiyah's KR -theory on X . We write $KR_n(X)$ and $KR_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/q)$ for the homotopy groups of this spectrum.

If V is a variety over \mathbb{R} , the passage from algebraic vector bundles on V to Real vector bundles on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ induces a spectrum map $\mathbb{K}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{KR}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$; on homotopy groups it yields the maps $K_n(V) \rightarrow KR_n(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ studied in [34]. By abuse of notation, we shall write $KR_n(V)$ for $KR_n(V_{\mathbb{C}})$, etc. Here is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.1. *Let V be a d -dimensional variety over \mathbb{R} , possibly singular, with associated Real space $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. For all q , the map $\mathbb{K}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{KR}(V)$ induces an isomorphism*

$$K_n(V; \mathbb{Z}/q) \rightarrow KR_n(V; \mathbb{Z}/q)$$

for $n \geq d - 1$, and a monomorphism for $n = d - 2$.

The corresponding theorem in [34, 4.8] required V to be nonsingular, and only established the isomorphism for $n \geq d$. Similar results have been proved independently in [22].

Proof. First we assume that V is a nonsingular variety. When $V(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$, the theorem was established in [34, 4.7]; when $V(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$, it was established in [34, 4.8], except for the cases $n = d - 1, d - 2$. These two cases were handled by Rosenschon and Østvær in [52, Thm. 2].

For singular V , we first note that $\mathbb{K}(V; \mathbb{Z}/q) \cong \mathbb{K}(V_{\text{red}}; \mathbb{Z}/q)$ and $\mathbb{K}(V; \mathbb{Z}/q) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{KH}(V; \mathbb{Z}/q)$, where \mathbb{KH} denotes homotopy K -theory; if V is affine, this is [64, 1.6 and 2.3]; the general case follows by Zariski descent. Since $\mathbb{KR}(V) = \mathbb{KR}(V_{\text{red}})$, we may assume V is reduced.

We deduce the result for reduced varieties by induction on $\dim(V)$. Given V with singular locus Z , choose a resolution of singularities, $V' \rightarrow V$, and set $Z' = Z \times_V V'$. By Haesemeyer [20], $\mathbb{KH}(V; \mathbb{Z}/q)$ satisfies cdh descent. It follows that we have a fibration sequence

$$\mathbb{K}(V; \mathbb{Z}/q) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(V'; \mathbb{Z}/q) \times \mathbb{K}(Z; \mathbb{Z}/q) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(Z'; \mathbb{Z}/q).$$

On the other hand, by excision for $(V'_\mathbb{C}, Z'_\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (V_\mathbb{C}, Z_\mathbb{C})$, we have a fibration sequence

$$\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(V; \mathbb{Z}/q) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(V'; \mathbb{Z}/q) \times \mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(Z; \mathbb{Z}/q) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(Z'; \mathbb{Z}/q).$$

There is a natural map between these fibration sequences. Because $\dim(Z)$ and $\dim(Z')$ are at most $d-1$, we know by induction that the maps $K_n(Z'; \mathbb{Z}/q) \rightarrow KR_n(Z'; \mathbb{Z}/q)$ are isomorphisms for $n \geq d-2$, and injections for $n = d-3$.

Since V' is smooth, $K_n(V'; \mathbb{Z}/q) \rightarrow KR_n(V'; \mathbb{Z}/q)$ is an isomorphism for $n \geq d-1$, and an injection for $n = d-2$. The result now follows from the 5-lemma, applied to the long exact homotopy sequences. \square

Let $\mathbb{K}^c(V)$ denote the homotopy fiber of $\mathbb{K}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(V)$, and set $K_n^c(V) = \pi_n \mathbb{K}^c(V)$. These are called the *K-theory comparison groups*. Note that the previous theorem may be stated as $K_n^c(V; \mathbb{Z}/q) = 0$ for $n \geq d-2$. Since q is arbitrary, the universal coefficient formula yields:

Corollary 3.2. *If $n \geq d-2$, the groups $K_n^c(V)$ are uniquely divisible. Moreover, the group $K_{d-3}^c(V)$ is torsionfree.*

Example 3.3. Let V be a smooth projective curve of genus g , defined over \mathbb{R} , such that $V_\mathbb{R}$ is not empty but has $\nu > 0$ connected components (circles). Using Theorem 3.1, the finite generation of $KR^*(V)$ and the calculations of $K_*(V)$ in [51, 0.1], it is not hard to check that

$$\begin{aligned} KR^0(V) &= \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\nu-1} & KR^4(V) &= \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ KR^1(V) &= \mathbb{Z}^g & KR^5(V) &= \mathbb{Z}^g \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\nu-1} \\ KR^2(V) &= 0 & KR^6(V) &= (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\nu+1} \\ KR^3(V) &= \mathbb{Z}^g & KR^7(V) &= \mathbb{Z}^g \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\nu+1}. \end{aligned}$$

For example, the calculation $KR^3(V) = KR_5(V)$ follows from the fact that $K_4(V)_{\text{tors}} = (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^g$ and $K_5(V)$ is divisible [51, 0.1]. By Theorem 3.1, $KR_5(V; \mathbb{Z}/q) \cong K_5(V; \mathbb{Z}/q)$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/q)^g$ for all q , and the result follows from universal coefficients. The calculation of $KR^5(V) = KR_3(V)$ follows from $K_2(V)_{\text{tors}} = (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^g \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\nu+1}$ and $K_3(V) = D \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\nu-1}$, D divisible. Hence $KR_3(V; \mathbb{Z}/2q) \cong K_3(V; \mathbb{Z}/2q)$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/2q)^g \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2\nu-1}$, but the image of $KR_3(V; \mathbb{Z}/4q) \rightarrow KR_3(V; \mathbb{Z}/2q)$ is the group $(\mathbb{Z}/2q)^g \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\nu-1}$ for all q .

The image of $K_n(V) \rightarrow KR^{-n}(V)$ is the torsion subgroup for all $n > 0$. For $n = 0$, we have $K_0(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\nu-1} \oplus (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g$ by [50, 1.1]; this group surjects onto $KR^0(V)$, and $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g$ is the image of $K_0^c(V) \cong \mathbb{R}^g$ in $K_0(V)$. We also have $K_{-1}^c(V) = 0$ and $K_{-2}^c(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}^g$.

Example 3.4. Suppose that V is a smooth affine curve, obtained from a smooth irreducible projective curve \bar{V} of genus g by removing $r > 0$ points. Then $KR_0(V) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^\lambda$, where $\lambda \geq 0$ is the number of closed components (circles) of $V_\mathbb{R}$, and $K_0(V) \rightarrow KR_0(V)$ is a surjection whose kernel is the quotient of $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g$ by a finitely generated subgroup.

This calculation follows from the compatibility of the localization sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}^r \rightarrow K_0(\bar{V}) \rightarrow K_0(V) \rightarrow 0$$

with its KR -analogue [34, 3.4], and [50, 1.4].

4. WITT GROUPS FOR CURVES OVER \mathbb{R}

The purpose of this section is to show that the groups $W(V)$ and $WR(V)$ are isomorphic for curves V , and to explicitly compute this Witt group when V is smooth projective. Our computations recover some older results of Knebusch [40] for $W(V)$. We will prove analogous results for the co-Witt groups W' of curves in Section 9.

The results in this section are intended to illustrate the more general results which we will give later on in the paper, although the results in this section will not be used in the rest of this paper. Our proofs will use results from Sections 5, 7 and 8 below.

Here is our main theorem about curves. It is reminiscent of the results in [51] for K -groups of curves.

Theorem 4.1. *Let V be any curve over \mathbb{R} , possibly singular. Then the natural map*

$$W(V) \xrightarrow{\theta} WR(V)$$

is an isomorphism.

The skew-symmetric analogue ${}_{-1}W(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}_{-1}WR(V)$ is also true, but not very interesting, because we shall see in Proposition 4.8 that both terms are zero.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Consider the following commutative diagram, where, as in 2.11 and 3.2, the superscript ‘ c ’ in K^c , GW^c and U^c means “comparison groups.”

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_0^c(V) & \xrightarrow{h} & GW_0^c(V) & \rightarrow & U_{-1}^c(V) & \rightarrow & K_{-1}^c(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\ K_0(V) & \xrightarrow{h} & GW_0(V) & \rightarrow & U_{-1}(V) & \rightarrow & K_{-1}(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ KR_0(V) & \xrightarrow{h} & GR_0(V) & \rightarrow & UR_{-1}(V) & \rightarrow & KR_{-1}(V) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & U_{-2}^c(V) & & \end{array}$$

The second and third rows are the exact sequences (1.4) and (1.5), with homological indexing. By Bass-Murthy [66, Ex. III.4.4], $K_{-1}(V)$ is a free abelian group. Since $K_{-1}^c(V)$ is 2-divisible by Corollary 3.2, the map $K_{-1}^c(V) \rightarrow K_{-1}(V)$ is zero, and $K_{-1}(V)$ injects into $KR_{-1}(V)$.

By a diagram chase, the kernel of $\theta : W(V) \rightarrow WR(V)$ is a quotient of $U_{-1}^c(V)$, which is 2-divisible by Corollary 5.5, and the cokernel of

θ injects into $U_{-2}^c(V)$, which has no 2-torsion by Corollary 5.5. By Theorem 7.6 and Theorem 8.4, $\ker(\theta)$ is a 2-primary group of bounded exponent, and $\operatorname{coker}(\theta)$ is a finite 2-group (the cokernel is finitely generated by Corollary 2.3.) It follows that $\ker(\theta)$ and $\operatorname{coker}(\theta)$ are zero. \square

To illustrate the role of the Real Witt group, we now calculate $WR(V)$ more explicitly when V is a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{R} . It will be a function of the genus g and the number ν of components of the space of real points of V . Given Theorem 4.1, that $W(V)$ is isomorphic to the topological group $WR(V)$, we recover and slightly improve the algebraic calculations of Knebusch [40].

Example 4.1.1. If V is a curve defined over \mathbb{C} (a complex curve of genus g), it is well known that $W(V) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2g+1}$; see [41, p. 263].

To compute $WR(X)$, we must distinguish between the Riemann surface $X' = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}, V)$ of complex points over \mathbb{C} and the space $X = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}, V)$ of complex points over \mathbb{R} ; we have $X = X' \times G$. Since $KR(X) = KU(X')$ and $GR(X) = KO_G(X) = KO(X')$, $WR(X)$ is the cokernel of $KU(X') \rightarrow KO(X')$. A simple calculation using the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequences for KU and KO shows that $W(V) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{2g+1}$.

If V is geometrically connected (i.e., not defined over \mathbb{C}), the associated Real space $X = V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Riemann surface of genus g with an involution σ . Thus σ acts on $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$. If we take coefficient $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, we get an eigenspace decomposition for this induced involution.

Lemma 4.2. *Both eigenspaces of σ on $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ have rank g .*

Proof. (Cf. [51, 2.3]) We consider $H = H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ as a module over the group $G = \{1, \sigma\}$. As an abelian group, $H \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ so if $\mathbb{Z}(1)$ denotes the sign representation then $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^a \oplus \mathbb{Z}(1)^b \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G]^c$, where $a + b + 2c = 2g$. We need to show that $a + c = b + c = g$.

Now the complex variety $V' = V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ has reduced Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}^0(V') \cong H \otimes (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, so we have $\operatorname{Pic}^0(V')^G = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{a+c} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^b$. If the real points $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ consist of $\nu > 0$ circles, then Weichold proved (in his 1882 thesis [67]) that $\operatorname{Pic}^0(V) \cong (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g \times (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{\nu-1}$; see [51, 1.1]. In this case, $\operatorname{Pic}^0(V) \cong \operatorname{Pic}^0(V')^G$, and it follows that $a + c = g$.

If there are no real points on V , then Klein proved (in the 1892 paper [37]) that $\operatorname{Pic}^0(V) \cong (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g$. In this case $\operatorname{Pic}^0(V)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Pic}^0(V')^G$ of finite index, so again $a + c = g$. (More precisely, if g is even, then $a = b = 0$, while if g is odd then $a = b = 1$; see [50, 1.1.2].) \square

If X is compact, we shall write $(X \times \mathbb{R})^+$ for the 1-point compactification $(X \times \mathbb{R}) \cup \{\text{pt}\}$ of $X \times \mathbb{R}$. If X is a Real space, we regard $(X \times \mathbb{R})^+$ as the Real space in which the involution sends (x, t) to $(\sigma x, -t)$. The reduced group $\widetilde{KO}_G((X \times \mathbb{R})^+) = KO_G((X \times \mathbb{R})^+, \text{pt})$ is written as

$KO_G(X \times \mathbb{R})$ in Appendix C, to be consistent with the notation in [28, II.4.1]. We avoid this notation here, as it conflicts with our notation for $KO_G(X)$ when $X = V_{\mathbb{C}}$ for a non-projective variety.

Theorem 4.3. *Let V be a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{R} and let $X = V_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the space of its complex points. Then there is an isomorphism:*

$$WR(X) \cong \widetilde{KO}_G((X \times \mathbb{R})^+).$$

Proof. Since $\dim(X) = 2$, we have $KU^1(X) \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ and (by Example 3.3) $KR^1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^g$. These are connected by the complexification $KR^* \rightarrow KU^*$ and realification maps $KU^* \rightarrow KR^*$; see [3]. The composition $KU^* \rightarrow KR^* \rightarrow KU^*$ is $1 - \sigma$, since it is induced by the functor sending E to $E \oplus \sigma^*(\bar{E})$. Inverting 2, the invariant subspace of $-\sigma$ acting on $KU^1(X)[1/2]$ is $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]^g$, by Lemma 4.2. Thus $KR^1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^g$ injects into $KU^1(X)^{-\sigma}$ with index a power of 2. Since the composition

$$KR^1(X) \rightarrow KO_G^1(X) \rightarrow KO^1(X) \rightarrow KU^1(X)$$

is injective, so is the first map $KR^1(X) \rightarrow KO_G^1(X)$. We now use the exact sequence established in Theorem C.6,

$$KR(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}_G((X \times \mathbb{R})^+) \rightarrow KR^1(X) \rightarrow KO_G^1(X),$$

and the identification of Theorem 2.2 to conclude that $WR(X)$, the cokernel of $KR(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X)$, is $\widetilde{KO}_G((X \times \mathbb{R})^+)$. \square

Let $G = C_2$ act on $[-1, 1]$ as multiplication by ± 1 . Recall from [28, II.4] that the group $\widetilde{KO}_G((X \times \mathbb{R})^+)$ is isomorphic to the relative group $KO_G(X \times [-1, 1], X \times S^0)$; since $KO_G^*(X \times [-1, 1]) \cong KO_G^*(X)$ and $KO_G^*(X \times S^0) \cong KO^*(X)$, we have an exact sequence:

$$(4.4) \quad KO_G^{-1}(X) \rightarrow KO^{-1}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}_G((X \times \mathbb{R})^+) \rightarrow KO_G(X) \rightarrow KO(X)$$

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that V has no \mathbb{R} points, and is geometrically connected (i.e., not defined over \mathbb{C}). Then $WR(V)$ surjects onto $\mathbb{Z}/4$.*

Proof. Let F denote the function field of V . The generator of $W(F)$ is represented by $\langle 1 \rangle = -\langle -1 \rangle$ so twice it is $2\langle 1 \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle - \langle -1 \rangle$, and the signature map $I(F)/I^2(F) \xrightarrow{\cong} F^\times/F^{\times 2}$ sends $2\langle 1 \rangle$ to $[-1]$. Since $I(F)/I^2(F)$ is a square-zero ideal in $W(F)/I^2(F)$, there is a surjection from $W(F)/I^2(F)$ to $\mathbb{Z}/4$ if and only if $2\langle 1 \rangle \neq 0$ in $I(F)/I^2(F)$, which is true only when -1 is a square in F , i.e., iff F contains \mathbb{C} . \square

Remark 4.5.1. Let V be a smooth variety over \mathbb{R} of dimension ≥ 2 which is geometrically connected (i.e., not defined over \mathbb{C}). If V has no \mathbb{R} points, then the ring $WR(V)$ surjects onto $\mathbb{Z}/4$. This is immediate from Lemma 4.5, given Bertini's Theorem and Zariski's Main Theorem (see [21, III.7.9]), which guarantees that V contains a smooth curve

C which is also geometrically connected; the ring map $WR(V) \rightarrow WR(C) \cong W(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4$ sends the image of the subring \mathbb{Z} onto $\mathbb{Z}/4$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let V be a geometrically connected, smooth projective algebraic curve over \mathbb{R} of genus g without \mathbb{R} -points. Then the Witt group $W(V)$ is*

$$W(V) \cong WR(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^g.$$

Theorem 4.6 recovers Knebusch's result in [40, Corollary 10.13]) that the kernel I of $W(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^{g+1}$.

Proof. Since $X^G = \emptyset$, $G = C_2$ acts freely on X and if we set $Y = X/G$ then $KO_G^*(X) = KO^*(Y)$. Therefore (4.4) becomes the exact sequence

$$KO^{-1}(Y) \rightarrow KO^{-1}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}_G((X \times \mathbb{R})^+) \rightarrow KO(Y) \rightarrow KO(X).$$

In fact, $\widetilde{KO}_G((X \times \mathbb{R})^+)$ may be identified with the reduced KO -group of the cone K of the map $X \rightarrow Y$. Using $\chi(X) = 2\chi(X/G) = 2 - 2g$, we see that $H^1(X/G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{g+1}$. Therefore $H^2(K; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{g+1}$.

We now use a classical result: if M is a connected CW -complex of dimension ≤ 3 , the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence collapses to yield an isomorphism

$$KO(M) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \times H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}/2),$$

and (by the Cartan Formula for Stiefel-Whitney classes) the group law $*$ on the right hand side is given by the formula

$$(n, w_1, w_2) * (n', w'_1, w'_2) = (n + n', w_1 + w'_1, w_2 + w'_2 + w_1 w'_1).$$

It follows that $\widetilde{KO}(K)$ is an extension of $H^1(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ (with generator x) by $H^2(K) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^{g+1}$, with $x * x = (0, x^2)$. \square

Now consider the case when V has \mathbb{R} -points. These points form the subspace X^G of X , which is homeomorphic to a disjoint union of $\nu > 0$ copies of S^1 (with G acting trivially on S^1). From Example 2.4, we know that $WR(S^1) \cong KO(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ and if x is any \mathbb{R} -point on S^1 then the map $WR(S^1) \rightarrow WR(x) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is a split surjection. Picking a \mathbb{R} -point on each component of X^G gives a map $\tau : WR(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, independent of the choice of the points. We can now recover another result of Knebusch by topological methods; see [40, Theorem 10.4]:

Theorem 4.7. *Let V be a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{R} of genus g with $\nu > 0$ connected real components. Then the Witt group is*

$$W(V) \cong WR(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}^\nu \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^g.$$

More precisely, the image of the signature $W(V) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ is the subgroup Γ of rank ν consisting of sequences (a_1, \dots, a_ν) such that either all the a_i are even or all the a_i are odd, and we have a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2)^g \rightarrow WR(V) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Gamma \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. To analyze $WR(X)$, we consider a small closed collar neighborhood T of X^G and the closure K of the complement $X \setminus T$; they cover X and intersect in a (trivial) G -cover $S = (X^G) \times G$ of X^G . (S is 2ν circles.) For $Z = K, T$ and S , we still have injections from $KR^1(Z)$ into $KO_G^1(Z)$. Therefore, we obtain a Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} WR(X) \rightarrow WR(K) \oplus WR(T) \rightarrow WR(S) \rightarrow$$

The group G acts freely on the subspaces K and S of $X \setminus X^G$, and almost the same calculation as in the proof of Theorem 4.6 shows that

$$\widetilde{KO}_G((K \times \mathbb{R})^+) \cong WR(K) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^g.$$

On the other hand, $WR(T)$ is the direct sum of ν copies of $WR(S^1) \cong KO(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ (see Example 2.4) and $WR(S)$ is the direct sum of ν copies of the cokernel $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ of the map from $KR(S^1 \times G) \cong KU(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ to $KO(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)$.

This shows that the previous exact sequence terminates in

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} WR(X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2)^g \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\nu \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^\nu \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2)^\nu \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^\nu \rightarrow 0.$$

The last map in this sequence sends (u, v, w) to $(\bar{v} + \theta(u), w + \theta(u))$, where \bar{v} is the class of v modulo 2 and where θ is the composition of the sum operation $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^g \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ and the diagonal $\mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2)^\nu$. Therefore, the end of this sequence can be written as

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} WR(X) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2)^g \oplus \Gamma \rightarrow 0,$$

where Γ is the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^ν defined in the statement of Theorem 4.7.

It remains to show that the map ∂ is zero. Using Theorem 4.3, the left hand side of the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence can be extended to

$$\widetilde{KO}_G^{-1}(K \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus \widetilde{KO}_G^{-1}((T \times \mathbb{R})^+) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}_G^{-1}((S \times \mathbb{R})^+) \xrightarrow{\partial} WR(X) \rightarrow .$$

It suffices to show that the map $\widetilde{KO}_G^{-1}((T \times \mathbb{R})^+) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}_G^{-1}((S \times \mathbb{R})^+)$ is onto.

Writing the 1-point compactification of $T \times \mathbb{R}$ as the union of $T \times [-1, 1]$ and the (contractible) closure of its complement, we see that $\widetilde{KO}_G^{-1}((T \times \mathbb{R})^+)$ is isomorphic to ν copies of $KO^{-1}(S^1)$. Using a similar cover of the 1-point compactification of $S \times \mathbb{R}$, we see that $\widetilde{KO}_G^{-1}((S \times \mathbb{R})^+)$ is isomorphic to ν copies of $KO^{-2}(S^1)$, and that the map between these groups is isomorphic to ν copies of the cup product $KO^{-1}(S^1) \rightarrow KO^{-2}(S^1)$ with the generator of $KO^{-1}(\text{pt})$. Since this cup product is onto, so is the map $\widetilde{KO}_G^{-1}((T \times \mathbb{R})^+) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}_G^{-1}((S \times \mathbb{R})^+)$. \square

Proposition 4.8. *For every curve V over \mathbb{R} , we have ${}_{-1}W(V) = 0$ and ${}_{-1}WR(V) = 0$.*

Proof. We first show that ${}_{-1}WR(X) = 0$ for $X = V_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Theorem 2.9, ${}_{-1}GR_0(X) \cong KR_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$. Since $KR_{\mathbb{H}}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$ for any connected CW-complex Y of dimension ≤ 3 (by the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence), the proofs of Theorems 4.6 and 4.7 go through. We leave the details of the calculation to the reader. (Use the quaternionic analogue of the spectral sequence (A.2) in [34], converging to $KR_{\mathbb{H}}^*(X)$.)

We shall need the following classical facts: if R is a field or local ring then (i) ${}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_0(V) = \mathbb{Z}$ and ${}_{-1}W_0(R) = 0$, and (ii) the infinite symplectic group $Sp(R)$ (for the trivial involution) is perfect; see [38] for instance. This implies that ${}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_1(R) = 0$ and that ${}_{-1}W_1(R) = 0$.

For any (singular) curve V over \mathbb{R} , the spectrum $\mathbb{G}W^{[2]}(V)$ satisfies Zariski descent by [58, 9.7]. The descent spectral sequence amounts to an exact sequence for each $\mathbb{G}W_n^{[2]}(V)$, one of which is:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(V, \mathbb{G}W_1^{[2]}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_0^{[2]}(V) \rightarrow H^0(V, \mathbb{G}W_0^{[2]}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The sheaf $\mathbb{G}W_1^{[2]} = {}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_1$ vanishes, as its stalks are ${}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_1(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = 0$. Since ${}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_0(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = \mathbb{Z}$, the sheaf $\mathbb{G}W_0^{[2]} = {}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_0$ is \mathbb{Z} , we get $\mathbb{G}W_0^{[2]}(X) = H^0(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and hence ${}_{-1}W_0(V) = 0$. \square

Here is a different proof of Proposition 4.8 for smooth curves. For smooth V , Balmer and Walter constructed a spectral sequence in [7] converging to the Balmer Witt groups $W^{[p+q]}(V)$, with $E_1^{p,q} = 0$ unless $0 \leq p \leq \dim(V)$ and $q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If V is a curve, the spectral sequence collapses to yield ${}_{-1}W_0(V) = W^{[2]}(V) = 0$; see [7, 10.1b].

Alternative proof for singular affine curves. Suppose that $V = \text{Spec}(A)$. It is well known that $K_0(A) = K_0(A_{\text{red}})$ and ${}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_0(A) = {}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_0(A_{\text{red}})$, so ${}_{-1}W(A) = {}_{-1}W(A_{\text{red}})$. Therefore we may assume that A is reduced.

If B is the normalization of A and I is the conductor ideal, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_1(B/I) & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_1(B/I) & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1}W_1(B/I) & \longrightarrow & 0. \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ K_0(A) & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_0(A) & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1}W_0(A) & \longrightarrow & 0. \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ K_0(B) \oplus & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_0(B) \oplus & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1}W_0(B) \oplus & \longrightarrow & 0. \\ K_0(A/I) & & {}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_0(A/I) & & {}_{-1}W_0(A/I) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ K_0(B/I) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & {}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W_0(B/I) & \longrightarrow & {}_{-1}W_0(B/I) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

The left two columns are exact (the $\mathbb{G}W$ column is exact by [8, III(2.3)]) and the horizontal (exact) sequences define ${}_{-1}W_n$.

As $\text{Spec}(B)$ is a smooth curve, we have already seen that ${}_{-1}W_0(B) = 0$. As A/I and B/I are products of artin local rings, we have ${}_{-1}W_0(A/I) = {}_{-1}W_1(B/I) = 0$. A diagram chase now shows that ${}_{-1}W_0(A) = 0$. \square

5. WILLIAMS' CONJECTURE IN REAL HERMITIAN K -THEORY

The purpose of this section, achieved in Theorem 5.4, is to compare $GW_*(V; \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu)$ and $GR_*(V; \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu)$. For this, we establish an analogue for Real spaces (Theorem 5.2) of a general conjecture relating K -theory to Hermitian K -theory, formulated by Bruce Williams in [68, p. 667].

Williams' conjecture has been verified in many cases of interest; see [11, 1.1, 1.5, 2.6] and the references cited there. To state the result of [11, 2.6] in our context, we write $\mathbb{K}(V)$ for the nonconnective K -theory spectrum of a variety V and $\mathbb{G}W(V)$ for Karoubi's Grothendieck-Witt spectrum of V [58], using the usual duality $E^* = \text{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}_V)$. By construction, $K_n(V) = \pi_n \mathbb{K}(V)$ and $\mathbb{G}W_n(V) = \pi_n \mathbb{G}W(V)$ for $n \geq 0$. Since $E^{**} \cong E$, the duality induces an involution on $\mathbb{K}(V)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let V be a variety (over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} for simplicity). Then the map of spectra $\mathbb{G}W(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(V)^{hG}$ is a 2-adic homotopy equivalence. Here $G = C_2$ acts by duality.*

Although “ $X \rightarrow Y$ is a 2-adic homotopy equivalence” means that $X^\wedge \rightarrow Y^\wedge$ is a homotopy equivalence, the property we use is that the induced map $\varprojlim_r \pi_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/2^r) \rightarrow \varprojlim_r \pi_*(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2^r)$ is an isomorphism.

Let X be a Real space, and let $\mathbb{G}\mathbb{R}(X)$ denote the spectrum associated to the Real Grothendieck-Witt theory of X . As in Section 3, let $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(X)$ denote the spectrum associated to the $K\mathbb{R}$ -theory of X . By construction, $KR_n(X) = \pi_n \mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(X)$ and $GR_n(X) = \pi_n \mathbb{G}\mathbb{R}(X)$.

From the Banach algebra point of view, $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(X)$ is the usual topological K -theory spectrum associated to the algebra A of continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(\bar{x}) = \overline{f(x)}$, while $\mathbb{G}\mathbb{R}(X)$ is the topological Hermitian K -theory spectrum of A (see Appendices C, D).

The following theorem proves the analogue of Williams' conjecture for Real Hermitian K -theory in a topological setting. Another proof using Banach algebras is given in Appendix D.

The group $G = C_2$ acts on $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(X)$ and on $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{U}(X)$, by sending a Real bundle (resp., a complex bundle) to its dual bundle. The canonical map $KO(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\mathbb{U}(X)^{hG}$ is a homotopy equivalence; see [31] and [10, p. 808].

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a compact Real space, and let $G = C_2$ act by duality on the spectrum $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(X)$. Then we have a 2-adic homotopy equivalence:*

$$\mathbb{G}\mathbb{R}(X) \simeq \mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(X)^{hG}.$$

Proof. In addition to duality, there are three non-trivial actions of G on $\mathbb{K}U(X)$, whose arise from the action of G (a) on the space X , (b) on the complex vector bundles over X (ignoring the action on X), sending a bundle E to its complex conjugate bundle E' and (c) the product of these actions, sending a bundle E to the bundle F defined by $F_x = E'_{\sigma x}$. We shall write the corresponding homotopy fixed point spectra as $\mathbb{K}U(X)^{hG_X}$, $\mathbb{K}U(X)^{hG'}$ and $\mathbb{K}U(X)^{hG'_X}$, respectively.

Using the Banach algebra approach, we have homotopy equivalences:

$$\mathbb{K}O(X) \simeq \mathbb{K}U(X)^{hG}, \quad \mathbb{K}R(X) \simeq \mathbb{K}U(X)^{hG'}.$$

(The first equivalence is verified in [31] but is older; the argument for hG' is given in [10, pp. 809-810].) Thus

$$\mathbb{K}O(X)^{hG'} \simeq (\mathbb{K}U(X)^{hG})^{hG'} \simeq (\mathbb{K}U(X)^{hG'})^{hG} \simeq \mathbb{K}R(X)^{hG}.$$

Since the action (b) is trivial on $\mathbb{K}O(X)$, $\mathbb{K}O(X)^{hG_X} \simeq \mathbb{K}O(X)^{hG'}$ after 2-adic completion. Thus Theorem 5.2 is equivalent to the assertion that

$$\mathbb{G}R(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{K}O(X)^{hG_X}$$

is a homotopy equivalence after 2-adic completion.

By Theorem 2.2, this is equivalent to the Atiyah-Segal completion theorem with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}/2^\nu$:

$$KO_G(X)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\simeq} KO(EG \times_G X)^\wedge.$$

More precisely, on the 0-spectrum level — which is sufficient for our purpose — we have (after completion)

$$KO(EG \times_G X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_0 \operatorname{Hom}_G(EG, \mathbb{K}O(X)).$$

On the other hand, the 2-adic completion of $KO_G(X)$ coincides with the completion of $KO_G(X)$ via the fundamental ideal I of $RO(G)$, followed by 2-adic completion. Indeed, the filtration of $RO(G) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ by the powers of I is given by the sequence of ideals $\{\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2^n \mathbb{Z}\}$. Therefore, $KO(EG \times_G X)^\wedge$ is isomorphic to the 2-adic completion of $KO_G(X)$. \square

Remark. If G acts freely on X , the homotopy equivalence

$$\mathbb{G}R(X) \simeq \mathbb{K}O(X/G) \simeq \mathbb{K}O(X)^{hG_X}$$

is obvious and we don't need 2-adic completions to prove it. When the action of G is trivial, another approach would be to repeat the argument in [10, p. 809]; we would then need 2-adic completion for the statement. Another proof of Theorem 5.2 is to use a Mayer-Vietoris argument when the space of fixed points X^G has an equivariant tubular neighborhood, which often happens in applications.

For the next result, let $GW_*^c(V)$ denote the homotopy groups of the homotopy fiber of $\mathbb{G}W(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\mathbb{R}(V)$. As in Remark 2.11, the comparison groups $GW_n^c(V)$ fit into an exact sequence:

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbb{G}W_{n+1}(V) \rightarrow GR_{n+1}(V) \rightarrow GW_n^c(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_n(V) \rightarrow GR_n(V).$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let V be an algebraic variety over \mathbb{R} of dimension d (with or without singularities). Then (for all $\nu > 0$) the canonical map*

$$\mathbb{G}W(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\mathbb{R}(V)$$

induces isomorphisms $\mathbb{G}W_n(V; \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu) \rightarrow KO_G^{-n}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu)$ for $n \geq d-1$, and monomorphisms $\mathbb{G}W_{d-2}(V; \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu) \rightarrow KO_G^{-d+2}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu)$.

In other words, the groups $GW_n^c(V)$ are uniquely 2-divisible for all $n \geq d-2$, and $GW_{d-3}^c(V)$ is 2-torsionfree.

Proof. By Theorems 2.2, 5.1 and 5.2, $\mathbb{G}W^c(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^c(V)^{hG}$ is a 2-adic homotopy equivalence. The result now follows from Corollary 3.2. \square

We can also compare the homotopy fiber $U(V) = \mathbb{G}W^{[-1]}(V)$ of the hyperbolic map $\mathbb{K}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W(V)$ with the homotopy fiber $UR(V) = GR^{[-1]}(V)$ of $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\mathbb{R}(V)$. The following corollary is immediate from Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 5.4.

Corollary 5.5. *The maps $\pi_n(\mathbb{U}(V); \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu) \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathbb{U}\mathbb{R}(V); \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu)$ are isomorphisms for $n \geq d-1$ and a monomorphism for $n = d-2$. Hence, the homotopy groups $U_n^c(V)$ of the homotopy fiber $\mathbb{U}^c(V)$ of $\mathbb{U}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}\mathbb{R}(V)$ are uniquely 2-divisible for $n \geq d-2$ and $U_{d-3}^c(V)$ is 2-torsion free.*

Remark 5.6. We may extend the previous results to the symplectic setting. Williams' conjecture says that the map ${}_{-1}\mathbb{G}W(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(X)^{h-G}$ is a 2-adic homotopy equivalence. It is proven in [11, 1.1]; see Appendix D, Theorem D.1. Williams' conjecture for Real Hermitian K -theory takes the form

$${}_{-1}\mathbb{G}\mathbb{R}(X) \cong \mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(X)^{h-G}$$

where $G = C_2$ and $h-G$ denotes the action of G on the spectrum $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{R}(X)$ described in [10, p. 808]. Another approach to this result and Theorem 5.4, using different methods, may be found in Appendix D.

A more general approach, based on the same idea as Theorem 5.4, is related to twisted K -theory and will be given in our paper [36]. Recall that $GR(X) \cong GW_0(A)$, where A is the Banach algebra of continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $f(\sigma(x)) = \overline{f(x)}$ (see Appendix C). The group ${}_{-1}GR(X)$ is isomorphic to $GW_0(M_2A)$, where M_2A is the algebra of 2×2 matrices over A and the involution is $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \bar{d} & -\bar{b} \\ -\bar{c} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$.

If G acts trivially on X , so that $K\mathbb{R}(X) \cong KO(X)$ (Example 2.4), we also have ${}_{-1}GR(X) \cong KU(X)$. In this case, these general constructions

reduce to a 2-adic homotopy equivalence

$$\mathbb{K}U(X) \cong \mathbb{K}O(X)^{h-G}.$$

This reflects the fact that the symplectic group $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ is homotopy equivalent to its maximal compact subgroup (the unitary group U_n).

Copying the proof of Theorem 5.4, with Theorem 2.2 replaced by Theorem 2.9, the above remarks establish the following result.

Theorem 5.7. *Let V be an algebraic variety over \mathbb{R} of dimension d , with or without singularities. Then the maps*

$$-1\mathbb{G}W(V) \longrightarrow -1\mathbb{G}R(V)$$

induce isomorphisms $-1\mathbb{G}W_n(V; \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu) \rightarrow -1GR_n(V; \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu)$ for $n \geq d-1$, and monomorphisms $-1\mathbb{G}W_{d-2}(V; \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu) \rightarrow -1GR_{d-2}(V; \mathbb{Z}/2^\nu)$.

Remark 5.8. Defining the comparison groups $-1\mathbb{G}W_n^c(V)$ as in Remark 2.11, Theorem 5.7 shows that $-1\mathbb{G}W_n^c(V)$ is uniquely 2-divisible for all $n \geq d-2$, and the group $-1\mathbb{G}W_{d-3}^c(V)$ is 2-torsionfree.

6. BRUMFIEL'S THEOREM

The purpose of this section is to state and prove Theorem 6.2 below, which is a generalization of Brumfiel's theorem [13, 14] (over \mathbb{R}).

We first state the version of Brumfiel's theorem we shall use in the next section, comparing the Witt groups $W(V)$ and $WR(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ of a scheme V of finite type over \mathbb{R} . Recall that $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Hausdorff topological space of \mathbb{R} -points of V .

Theorem 6.1 (Brumfiel's Theorem). *For any quasi-projective variety V over \mathbb{R} , the signature map $W(V) \xrightarrow{\gamma} KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ is an isomorphism modulo 2-primary torsion. The same is true for the higher signature map $W_n(V) \rightarrow KO^{-n}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ for all integers n .*

If $V = \text{Spec}(A)$, the higher Witt groups $W_n(A)$ in this theorem are defined as the cokernel of the hyperbolic map from $K_n(A)$ to $GW_n(A) = \pi_n BO(A)^+$ if $n > 0$, and as $W_0(S^{|n|}A)$ if $n < 0$; see Karoubi [32]. The definition for quasi-projective V is given in [56].

Brumfiel proved Theorem 6.1 for $V = \text{Spec}(A)$ and $n = 0$ in [13], and for all n in [14]. Another proof of surjectivity was later given in [12, Thm. 15.3.1]. As noted in the Math Review of [13], the proof in loc. cit. is somewhat sketchy. This is another reason for our presentation of a new proof of this result.

Now let V be a scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$. We write $L(V)$ for the spectrum representing Balmer's Witt groups: $\pi_n L(V) = W_B^{-n}(V)$ [58, 7.2]. We recall the definition of this spectrum in 6.3 below. It is proven in [23, Lemma A.3] that $W_n(A)[1/2] \cong W_B^{-n}(A)[1/2]$ for all integers n . The same proof applies to show that $W_n(V)[1/2] \cong W_B^{-n}(V)[1/2]$ when V is not affine. Therefore, up to 2-primary torsion, we can replace

the groups $W_n(V)$ by the homotopy groups $\pi_n L(V)$. Theorem 6.1 is obtained by taking the homotopy groups π_n of the equivalence of spectra stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *Let V be a scheme of finite type over \mathbb{R} and assume that V has an ample family of line bundles. Then there is an equivalence of spectra, natural in V :*

$$L(V)[1/2] \xrightarrow{\sim} KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})[1/2].$$

Recall from Section 1 that there is a morphism $GW(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W(V)$ of spectra which is an equivalence on connected covers. We also need the element η of $GW_{-1}^{[-1]}(\mathbb{R}) \cong W_0(\mathbb{R})$ corresponding to the element $1 \in W_0(\mathbb{R})$; see [58, §6]. The following definition is taken from Definition 7.1 and Proposition 7.2 of [58].

Definition 6.3. The spectrum $L(V)$ is $GW(V)[\eta^{-1}]$, i.e., the colimit of the sequence

$$GW^{[0]}(V) \xrightarrow{\eta^\cup} S^1 \wedge GW^{[-1]}(V) \xrightarrow{\eta^\cup} S^2 \wedge GW^{[-2]}(V) \xrightarrow{\eta^\cup} \dots$$

Similarly, the spectrum $\mathbb{L}(V)$ is $\mathbb{G}W(V)[\eta^{-1}]$, i.e., the colimit of the sequence

$$\mathbb{G}W^{[0]}(V) \xrightarrow{\eta^\cup} S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}W^{[-1]}(V) \xrightarrow{\eta^\cup} S^2 \wedge \mathbb{G}W^{[-2]}(V) \xrightarrow{\eta^\cup} \dots$$

The canonical map $GW(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W(V)$ induces a map $L(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(V)$, and $L[1/2] \simeq \mathbb{L}[1/2]$ by [58, Lemma 8.16]. Since $\mathbb{G}W(V)$ satisfies Nisnevich descent by [58, Theorem 9.6], it follows that $L[1/2] \simeq \mathbb{L}[1/2]$ also satisfies Nisnevich descent.

We will need the *real étale topology*, defined in [54, 1.2.1] and [16], whose sheafification functor will be written as a_{ret} . Covering families are families of étale maps which induce a surjective family of associated real spectra. Stalks are henselian local rings with real closed residue fields. The category of sheaves on X_{ret} is equivalent to the category of sheaves on the topological space X_r where for affine $X = \text{Spec } A$, we have $X_r = \text{Sper } A$, the real spectrum of A ; see [54, Theorem 1.3].

We will also need the Nisnevich topology with sheafification functor a_{nis} . For a topological space X and a discrete set S , we will write $C(X, S)$ for the set of continuous functions $X \rightarrow S$.

Lemma 6.4. (1) *For any henselian local ring R with $\frac{1}{2} \in R$, the signature map induces an isomorphism*

$$W(R)[1/2] \cong C(\text{Sper } R, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]).$$

(2) *For any scheme V over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, the Nisnevich sheaf $a_{\text{nis}}W[1/2]$ on $\text{Sch}_{|V}$ is a sheaf for the real étale topology. In particular, the following sheafification map on $\text{Sch}_{|V}$ is an isomorphism of presheaves:*

$$a_{\text{nis}}W[1/2] \xrightarrow{\cong} a_{\text{ret}}W[1/2].$$

Proof. For the first part, let k be the residue field of the henselian local ring R . The quotient map $R \rightarrow k$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(R)[1/2] & \longrightarrow & W(k)[1/2] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C(\mathrm{Sper} R, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) & \longrightarrow & C(\mathrm{Sper} k, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \end{array}$$

in which the top horizontal map is an isomorphism, by the rigidity property of Witt groups [39, Satz 3.3]. The right vertical map is an isomorphism, by the classical computation (up to 2-primary torsion) of the Witt ring of a field; see for instance [53, §6]. For the lower horizontal map, let A be a commutative ring and S a discrete set. If $\mathrm{Max}_r(A) \subset \mathrm{Sper} A$ denotes the subspace of closed points, then the restriction map induces an isomorphism $C(\mathrm{Sper} A, S) \cong C(\mathrm{Max}_r(A), S)$. This follows for instance from [2, Proposition and Definition II 2.2]. If A is local with residue field k then $\mathrm{Sper}(k) \subset \mathrm{Max}_r(A)$, and if, moreover, A is henselian local, then $\mathrm{Sper}(k) = \mathrm{Max}_r(A)$; see for instance [2, Proposition II.2.4]. This shows that the lower horizontal map in the diagram is an isomorphism, and hence, so is the left vertical map.

For the second part of the lemma, we note that the first part implies that the signature map induces an isomorphism of presheaves between $a_{\mathrm{nis}}W[1/2]$ and the presheaf sending A to $C(\mathrm{Sper} A, \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$; since both are sheaves for the Nisnevich topology. The latter is a sheaf in the real étale topology, so $a_{\mathrm{nis}}W[1/2]$ is too. \square

There is a “local” model structure on presheaves of spectra on V_{ret} , in which a map $F \rightarrow F'$ is a (local) weak equivalence if the sheaffication of $\pi_*F \rightarrow \pi_*F'$ is an isomorphism. Let $F \rightarrow F^{\mathrm{ret}}$ denote the fibrant replacement of F in the real étale topology. We say that F satisfies *descent for the real étale topology* if $F(U) \rightarrow F^{\mathrm{ret}}(U)$ is a weak equivalence (of spectra) for each U in V_{ret} .

Example 6.5. KO satisfies descent for the real étale topology. This follows from [17, 4.10], since $U_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a covering space of $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ for each real étale cover $U \rightarrow V$. (See also [17, 5.2] and its obvious real étale analog.)

Theorem 6.6. *Let V be a finite dimensional noetherian $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ -scheme with an ample family of line bundles. Then $L(V)[1/2]$ satisfies descent for the real étale topology.*

Proof. The map from $L_*(V)[1/2] = L_*^{\mathrm{nis}}(V)[1/2]$ to $L_*^{\mathrm{ret}}(V)[1/2]$ is the map on abutments of a map of strongly convergent descent spectral sequences which on the $E_2^{p,q}$ -page is the map

$$H_{\mathrm{nis}}^p(V, a_{\mathrm{nis}}L_{-q}[1/2]) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ret}}^p(V, a_{\mathrm{ret}}L_{-q}[1/2]).$$

When $q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, both coefficient sheaves are zero by [6, Thm. 5.6]; hence the spectral sequences have $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ for $q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Since

$L_0(V) = W_0(V)$, the maps $a_{\text{nis}}L_{-q}[1/2] = a_{\text{ret}}L_{-q}[1/2]$ are isomorphisms for $q = 0 \pmod{4}$ by Lemma 6.4 ($a_{\text{ret}}L_0 = a_{\text{ret}}W_0$). Now, for any sheaf of abelian groups A on V_{ret} , such as $a_{\text{nis}}W_0$, the natural map $H_{\text{nis}}^p(V, A) \rightarrow H_{\text{ret}}^p(V, A)$ is an isomorphism by [54, Proposition 19.2.1]. Thus the morphism of spectral sequences is an isomorphism on E_2 -terms. Hence, it is an isomorphism on abutments. \square

From now on assume that V is a scheme of finite type over the real numbers \mathbb{R} . We have a canonical map of ring spectra

$$(6.7) \quad \mathbb{G}W(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W^{\text{top}}(V_{\mathbb{R}}) = KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2 - 1) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \mapsto -1} KO(V_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

where ε corresponds to $\langle -1 \rangle \in GW_0^{\text{top}}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Most of the rest of this section is preparation for the proof of the following proposition which will be given at the end of this Section.

Proposition 6.8. *The map (6.7) induces a natural map of spectra, $L(V)[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})[\frac{1}{2}]$, which is a weak equivalence for $V = \text{Spec } \mathbb{R}$.*

Using this proposition, we can now prove Theorem 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. Consider the commutative diagram of presheaves of spectra on V_{ret} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L[1/2] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & L[1/2]^{\text{ret}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ KO[1/2] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & KO[1/2]^{\text{ret}}. \end{array}$$

The top horizontal map is a weak equivalence by Theorem 6.6, and the lower horizontal map is a weak equivalence by Example 6.5. The right vertical map induces a map of descent spectral sequences for the real étale topology. We claim that this map is an isomorphism on the E_2 -page for all V . This will imply that the right vertical map (and hence the left map) is also an equivalence, proving the theorem.

To verify the claim, consider the inclusion of topological spaces $V_{\mathbb{R}} \subset V_r$. Restriction induces an equivalence $\text{Sh}(V_r) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ of categories of sheaves; see for instance [12, Theorem 7.2.3] where V_r is written as \tilde{V} . In particular, we have isomorphisms $H_{\text{ret}}^p(V, \pi_q L[1/2]) \cong H^p(V_r, \pi_q L[1/2]) \cong H^p(V_{\mathbb{R}}, \pi_q L[1/2])$ and

$$H_{\text{ret}}^p(V, \pi_q KO[1/2]) \cong H^p(V_r, \pi_q KO[1/2]) \cong H^p(V_{\mathbb{R}}, \pi_q KO[1/2]).$$

Now the restrictions of $\pi_q L[1/2]$ and $\pi_q KO[1/2]$ to V_{ret} are the constant sheaves $\pi_q L(\mathbb{R})[1/2]$ and $\pi_q KO(\mathbb{R})[1/2]$, respectively. Since the map $L(\mathbb{R})[1/2] \rightarrow KO(\mathbb{R})[1/2]$ in Proposition 6.8 is an equivalence, the claim follows. \square

In order to compare $L(V)$ with $KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$, it will be convenient to give a different but equivalent description of $\mathbb{L}(V)$.

For each pointed k -scheme V , let $\widetilde{GW}_*^{[n]}$ denote the reduced theory associated with $GW_*^{[n]}$, that is, $\widetilde{GW}_*^{[n]}(V)$ is the kernel of the split surjective map $GW_*^{[n]}(V) \rightarrow GW_*^{[n]}(k)$ given by the inclusion of the base point into V .

By homotopy invariance [58, 9.8], the boundary map in the Bass Fundamental Theorem [58, Theorem 9.13] for $\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec } k[T, T^{-1}]$ induces isomorphisms

$$\delta : \widetilde{GW}_1^{[1]}(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\cong} GW_0(k), \quad \widetilde{GW}_0(\mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\cong} GW_{-1}^{[-1]} \cong W_0(k)$$

fitting into the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{GW}_1^{[1]}(\mathbb{G}_m) & \xrightarrow{\eta \cup} & \widetilde{GW}_0(\mathbb{G}_m) \\ \delta \downarrow \cong & & \delta \downarrow \cong \\ GW_0(k) & \xrightarrow{\eta \cup} & GW_{-1}^{[-1]}(k) = W(k) \end{array}$$

As the horizontal maps are the boundary map of the Bott sequence [58, 8.11], the diagram commutes up to multiplication with -1 by the usual Verdier exercise [65, 10.2.6]. In particular, there is a unique element

$$[T] \in \widetilde{GW}_1^{[1]}(\mathbb{G}_m)$$

such that $\delta[T] = 1 \in GW_0(k)$.

Lemma 6.9. *We have $\eta \cup [T] = 1 - \langle T \rangle \in GW_0(\mathbb{G}_m)$.*

Proof. As the diagram anti-commutes, $\delta(\eta \cup [T]) = -\eta$. On the other hand, the composition of the right vertical map with $GW_{-1}^{[-1]} \cong W_0(k)$ is the usual boundary map $GW_0(k[T, T^{-1}]) \rightarrow W_0(k)$ as in [47], which sends $\langle T \rangle$ to $1 \in W_0(k)$ and sends $1 \in GW_0(k[T, T^{-1}])$ to 0 . Hence $\delta(\langle T \rangle - \langle 1 \rangle) = \eta$; the lemma follows, since the right vertical map is an isomorphism of abelian groups. \square

Write $\mathbb{GWH}^{[n]}$ for the homotopy invariant version of Grothendieck-Witt theory, that is, $\mathbb{GWH}^{[n]}(V)$ is the realization of the simplicial spectrum $q \mapsto GW^{[n]}(V \times \Delta^q)$ where $\Delta^q = \text{Spec } k[t_0, \dots, t_q] / (\sum t_i = 1)$. The map to the final object $\Delta^q \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ induces a natural map $GW^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathbb{GWH}^{[n]}$.

Lemma 6.10. *For any pointed k -scheme V , the cup product with $[T] \in \widetilde{GW}_1^{[1]}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ induces an equivalence*

$$[T] \cup : S^1 \wedge \widetilde{\mathbb{GWH}}^{[n]}(V) \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{GWH}}^{[n+1]}(\mathbb{G}_m \wedge V).$$

Proof. This follows from the definition of $[T]$ and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{GW}_1^{[1]}(\mathbb{G}_m) \otimes \widetilde{GWH}_p^{[n]}(V) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \widetilde{GWH}_{p+1}^{[n+1]}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1} \wedge V) \\ \delta \otimes 1 \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \delta \\ GW_0(k) \otimes \widetilde{GWH}_p^{[n]}(V) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\cup} & \widetilde{GWH}_p^{[n]}(V) \end{array}$$

where the tensor product is over $GW_0(k)$. In particular, the lower horizontal map is an isomorphism. The vertical maps are the boundary maps in the Bass Fundamental Theorem which are isomorphisms, by homotopy invariance. \square

Corollary 6.11. *Set $\tilde{\eta} = 1 - \langle T \rangle \in \widetilde{GW}_0(\mathbb{G}_m)$. Then for any k -scheme V , the “stabilized” Witt-theory spectrum $\mathbb{L}(V)$ of [58, 8.12] is the colimit of the sequence*

$$\mathbb{GWH}(V) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta} \cup} \widetilde{\mathbb{GWH}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 1} V_+) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta} \cup} \widetilde{\mathbb{GWH}}(\mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge 2} V_+) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta} \cup} \dots$$

Proof. The sequence in the corollary is equivalent to the homotopy invariant version of the sequence in Definition 6.3 in view of Lemmas 6.9 and 6.10. Since stabilized Witt groups are homotopy invariant, this colimit computes $\mathbb{L}(V)$. \square

Lemma 6.12. *Under the map $\mathbb{GW}(V) \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ of (6.7), the cup-product with the element $\tilde{\eta} = 1 - \langle T \rangle$ of $\widetilde{GW}_0(\mathbb{G}_m)$ induces multiplication by 2 on $KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

Proof. Recall that $\widetilde{KO}_0(S^0) = KO_0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$. We have to show that the map

$$\widetilde{GW}_0(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \widetilde{GW}_0^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}^{\times}) = \widetilde{GW}_0^{\text{top}}(S^0) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \mapsto -1} \widetilde{KO}_0(S^0) = \mathbb{Z}$$

sends $\tilde{\eta} = 1 - \langle T \rangle$ to 2. The first map between reduced Grothendieck-Witt groups is induced by the inclusion of the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[T, T^{-1}]$ into the ring $C(\mathbb{R}^{\times})$ of continuous functions $\mathbb{R}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ sending T to the standard inclusion. Therefore, the map $\widetilde{GW}_0(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \widetilde{GW}_0^{\text{top}}(S^0)$ sends $1 - \langle T \rangle$ to 0 on the base point component $+1$ of $\{\pm 1\} = S^0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ and to $1 - \langle -1 \rangle$ on the non-base point component -1 of S^0 . This element corresponds to $1 - \varepsilon \in \widetilde{KO}(S^0)[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2 - 1)$ and is thus sent to 2 in $KO(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 6.8. Inverting the multiplication by $\tilde{\eta} = 1 - \langle T \rangle$ on both sides of the (homotopy invariant version of the) map (6.7) yields a map of spectra

$$\mathbb{L}(V) = \mathbb{GWH}(V)[\tilde{\eta}^{-1}] \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})[\tilde{\eta}^{-1}] = KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})[1/2]$$

in view of Corollary 6.11 and Lemma 6.12. Hence, we obtain a natural transformation

$$(6.13) \quad L(V)[1/2] = \mathbb{L}(V)[1/2] \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})[1/2]$$

which is multiplicative on homotopy groups. In particular, this map is periodic of period 4. When $V = \text{Spec } \mathbb{R}$, it is an isomorphism since it is an isomorphism in degree 0, and zero in degrees $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. \square

7. EXPONENTS FOR REAL WITT GROUPS

In this section we show that, for any finite G -CW complex X , the kernel and cokernel of the restriction map $WR(X) \rightarrow WR(X^G) = KO(X^G)$ are 2-primary groups of bounded exponent, depending on $\dim(X)$. In particular, this is true for the kernel and cokernel of $WR(V) \rightarrow WR(V_{\mathbb{R}}) = KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ for every variety V over \mathbb{R} . The following number is useful; it was introduced in Example 2.5.

Definition 7.1. For $d \geq 1$, let $f(d)$ denote the number of integers i in the range $1 \leq i \leq d$ with $i \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{8}$.

When X^G is empty, i.e., the involution acts freely on the Real space X , we only need to bound the exponent of $WR(X)$. Recall from Example 2.5 that $WR(S^{d+1,0}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^f$, where $S^{d+1,0}$ is S^d with the antipodal involution and $f = f(d)$, (at least if $d \equiv 0, 1, 3, 7 \pmod{8}$; if $d \equiv 2, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8}$ the group is $\mathbb{Z}/2^f$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2^{f-1}$).

For example, we saw in Theorem 4.6 that $WR(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4$ for the Riemann sphere $X \cong S^{3,0}$ defined by $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2$. This example is typical in the following sense.

Theorem 7.2. *Suppose that the action of $G = C_2$ on X is free. Then the Real Witt group $WR(X)$ is a 2-primary torsion group of exponent 2^f , where $f = f(d)$ and $d = \dim(X)$.*

The same statement is true for the co-Witt group $WR'(X)$.

Proof. The map $KO(X/G) \cong KO_G(X) \xrightarrow{\rho} KR(X)$ of (2.1), composed with the forgetful map $KR(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X) \cong KO(X/G)$ is multiplication by $1 + L$, where L is the canonical line bundle associated to $X \rightarrow X/G$ and described in Example 2.7. Since L is classified by a cellular map $\alpha : X/G \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^\infty$, whose image lies in the d -skeleton \mathbb{RP}^d , the bundle L is the pullback $\alpha^*\xi$ via $\alpha : X/G \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^d$ of the canonical line bundle ξ over \mathbb{RP}^d . Now $(\xi + 1)^f - 2^f = 0$ in $KO(\mathbb{RP}^d)$, by Example 2.5. Applying α^* yields $2^f = (L + 1)^f$ in $KO(X/G)$, and so $KO(X/G)/(L + 1)$ has exponent 2^f . As $WR(X)$ is a quotient of $KO(X/G)/(L + 1)$, by Lemma 2.8, $WR(X)$ also has exponent 2^f .

The assertion for the co-Witt group is proven in the same way, taking kernels instead of cokernels. \square

Theorem 7.2 is also true in the relative case. If Y is a G -invariant subcomplex of X , we write $WR(X, Y)$ for the cokernel of the map $KR(X, Y) \rightarrow KO_G(X, Y)$. The relative co-Witt group $WR'(X, Y)$ is defined similarly, taking kernels instead of cokernels.

Variant 7.2.1. *Suppose that G acts freely on $X - Y$, where Y is a G -invariant subcomplex. Then $WR(X, Y)$ and $WR'(X, Y)$ are 2-primary torsion groups of exponent 2^f , $f = \dim(X - Y)$.*

Proof. When G acts freely on X , the formal argument of Theorem 7.2 goes through, for then $KO_G(X, Y) \cong KO(X/G, Y/G)$ and its given endomorphism is multiplication by $(1 + L)$. Next, suppose that Y contains an open subset U of X which contains X^G ; then $KO_G(X, Y) \cong KO_G(X - U, Y - U)$ by excision, and the result follows from the free case. The general result now follows from Lemma 7.2.2 below. \square

Lemma 7.2.2. *If X is a G -space and Y an invariant subspace, so that G acts freely on $X - Y$, then*

$$\varinjlim_Z KO_G(X, Z) \xrightarrow{\cong} KO_G(X, Y),$$

where Z runs over the set of G -invariant closed neighborhoods of Y .

Proof. This is the KO_G -version of a standard argument in topological K -theory, given for example in Lemma II.4.22 (p. 91) of [28]. \square

The effect of Lemma 7.2.2 is to extend assertions about $KO_G(X, Y)$ from the case where G acts freely on X to the case where G acts freely on $X - Y$.

In order to extend Theorem 7.2 to the case when G is not acting freely, we begin with some general remarks which hold in any Hermitian category \mathcal{E} . Consider the involution $\gamma : (E, \varphi) \mapsto (E, -\varphi)$ on $GW_0(\mathcal{E})$. If $K_0(\mathcal{E})$ has the trivial involution, the hyperbolic map $K_0(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow GW_0(\mathcal{E})$ is equivariant, so γ acts on the Witt group $W(\mathcal{E})$.

When \mathcal{E}_X is the category of Real vector bundles on X , and G acts freely on X , we know from Lemma 2.8 that the involution is multiplication by the canonical element γ in $GR(X)$.

Lemma 7.3. *For any Hermitian category \mathcal{E} , γ acts as multiplication by -1 on $W(\mathcal{E})$, and $1 - \gamma$ induces a functorial map*

$$f_{\mathcal{E}} : W(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow GW_0(\mathcal{E})$$

whose composition with the projection onto $W(\mathcal{E})$ is multiplication by 2 on $W(\mathcal{E})$. Moreover, $\gamma f_{\mathcal{E}}(x) = f_{\mathcal{E}}(\gamma x) = -f_{\mathcal{E}}(x)$ for all $x \in W(\mathcal{E})$.

Proof. Since $(E, q) \oplus (E, -q)$ is a metabolic form (the diagonal copy of E is a Lagrangian), $[E, \varphi] + [E, -\varphi] = 0$ in $W(\mathcal{E})$. Therefore, γ acts on the quotient $W(\mathcal{E})$ as multiplication by -1 .

Consider the endomorphism of $GW_0(\mathcal{E})$ sending the class of an Hermitian module (E, φ) to the formal difference $(E, \varphi) - (E, -\varphi)$. It sends

the class of a hyperbolic form to zero, so it induces a map $f_{\mathcal{E}}$, as claimed. For $x \in W(\mathcal{E})$, the image of $f_{\mathcal{E}}(x)$ in $W(\mathcal{E})$ is $x - \gamma(x) = 2x$. \square

Let $GW^-(\mathcal{E})$ denote the antisymmetric part of $GW_0(\mathcal{E})$ under the involution γ . Lemma 7.3 states that the image of the map $f_{\mathcal{E}}$ lies in $GW^-(\mathcal{E})$, and if $\bar{x} \in W(\mathcal{E})$ is the image of $x \in GW^-(\mathcal{E})$ then $f_{\mathcal{E}}(\bar{x}) = (1 - \gamma)x = 2x$. This proves:

Corollary 7.3.1. *The induced map $f_{\mathcal{E}} : W(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow GW^-(\mathcal{E})$ and the projection $GW^-(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow W(\mathcal{E})$ have kernels and cokernels which have exponent 2. In particular, we have an isomorphism*

$$GW^-(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \cong W(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2].$$

Combined with Example 2.4, our next result shows that $WR(X)$ differs from $KO(X^G) \cong WR(X^G)$ by at most 2-primary torsion.

Theorem 7.4. *For any Real space X , the kernel and cokernel of the restriction*

$$WR(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} WR(X^G) = KO(X^G)$$

are 2-primary groups of exponent 2^{1+f} , where $f = f(d)$ and $d = \dim(X - X^G)$. If X^G is a retract of X , the exponent is 2^f .

The same statement is true for the restriction map of co-Witt groups, $WR'(X) \rightarrow WR'(X^G) = KO(X^G)$.

Proof. The group G acts freely on $X - X^G$. We have a commutative diagram whose rows are exact by Definition 1.1 and whose left two columns are exact by excision:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} KR(X, X^G) & \rightarrow & GR(X, X^G) & \rightarrow & WR(X, X^G) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ KR(X) & \rightarrow & GR(X) & \rightarrow & WR(X) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma & & \\ KR(X^G) & \rightarrow & GR(X^G) & \rightarrow & WR(X^G) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ KR^1(X, X^G) & \rightarrow & GR^1(X, X^G) & \rightarrow & WR^1(X, X^G) & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Suppose that $a \in WR(X)$ is such that $\gamma(a) = 0$. If f_X denotes the map $WR(X) \rightarrow GR(X)$ defined in Lemma 7.3, $f_X(a)$ is an element of $GR(X)$ whose image in $WR(X)$ is $2a$, and whose image in $GR(X^G)$ is $f_{X^G}(\gamma a) = 0$. Thus $f_X(a)$ comes from $GR(X, X^G)$ and $2a$ is in the image of $WR(X, X^G)$; since $2^f \cdot WR(X, X^G) = 0$ by Theorem 7.2, $2^{1+f}a = 0$.

If X^G is a retract of X , we have a split short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow WR(X, X^G) \rightarrow WR(X) \rightarrow WR(X^G) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $2^f \cdot WR(X, X^G) = 0$, the conclusion of the theorem is obvious.

The proof is analogous for the cokernel of γ . Let \bar{a} denote the image of an element $a \in WR(X^G)$ in $\text{coker}(\gamma)$. By Theorem 7.2, $WR^1(X, X^G)$ is also a group of exponent 2^f , so $2^f \cdot f_{X^G}(a)$ vanishes in $GR^1(X, X^G)$

and hence comes from an element b of $GR(X)$. Since the image of b in $WR(X^G)$ is $2^f \cdot 2a$, $2^{1+f}\bar{a} = 0$ in $\text{coker}(\gamma)$.

Finally, the assertions for the co-Witt groups may be proven in the same way, by reversing the arrows in the above diagram and using kernels in place of cokernels. \square

Remark 7.5. The previous considerations are also valid for the Witt groups ${}_{\varepsilon}WR_n(X)$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, since these groups are modules over the ring $WR(X) = {}_{+1}WR(X)$. For example, if G acts freely on X then $2^f = 0$ in $WR(X)$ by Theorem 7.2 so the groups ${}_{\varepsilon}WR_n(X)$ all have exponent 2^f . The analogues of Theorem 7.4, concerning the map $WR_n(X) \rightarrow WR_n(X^G) \cong KO_n(X^G)$, are left to the reader.

Brumfiel's theorem 6.1 allows us to compare W and WR .

Theorem 7.6. *If V is any variety over \mathbb{R} , with associated Real space X , the comparison map $W(V) \rightarrow WR(X)$ is an isomorphism modulo 2-primary torsion, with finite cokernel.*

The same is true for the maps $W_n(V) \rightarrow WR_n(X)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The composition of $W(V) \rightarrow WR(X)$ with the restriction map $WR(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} WR(X^G)$ and the isomorphism $WR(X^G) \cong KO(X^G)$ of Example 2.4(a) is a map

$$W(V) \rightarrow WR(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} WR(X^G) \cong KO(V_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

It is induced by the functor which associates to an algebraic vector bundle on V its underlying topological real bundle over the space $V_{\mathbb{R}} = X^G$. The composition $W(V) \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ is an isomorphism modulo 2-primary torsion by Brumfiel's Theorem 6.1. Hence the kernel of $W(V) \rightarrow WR(X)$ is a 2-primary torsion group.

Since X has the homotopy type of a finite G -CW complex, the kernel and cokernel of the map $WR(X) \rightarrow WR(X^G)$ are 2-groups of bounded exponent by Theorem 7.4. Hence the cokernel of $W(V) \rightarrow WR(X)$ is also a 2-primary torsion group, as claimed. Since $WR(V)$ is finitely generated, the cokernel is in fact a finite group.

The result for $W_n(V) \rightarrow WR_n(X)$ follows by the same argument, using Remark 7.5. \square

By Lemma B.5, ${}_{\varepsilon}W_n(V) \xrightarrow{u_2} {}_{-\varepsilon}W_{n+2}$ is an isomorphism modulo 2-torsion. The same is true for ${}_{\varepsilon}WR_n(V) \xrightarrow{u_2} {}_{-\varepsilon}WR_{n+2}$. Therefore Theorem 7.6 extends to the skew-symmetric case:

Corollary 7.7. *If V is any variety over \mathbb{R} , the maps ${}_{-1}W_n(V) \rightarrow {}_{-1}WR_n(V)$ are isomorphisms modulo 2-primary torsion for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

8. EXPONENTS FOR $W(V)$

We now come back to our setting of an algebraic variety V defined over \mathbb{R} . By Theorem 7.6, we know that for all n the kernel of the map

$$\theta_n : W_n(V) \longrightarrow WR_n(V)$$

is a 2-primary torsion group, and the cokernel is a finite 2-group.

Unfortunately, $W(V) = W_0(V)$ is not finitely generated in general; there are complex 3-folds for which $W(V)/2$ is not finite. Parimala pointed out in [49] that for a smooth 3-fold V , the Witt group $W(V)$ is finitely generated if and only if $CH^2(V)/2$ is finite. (Parimala assumed that V was affine, but this assumption was removed by Totaro [61].) Based upon the work of Schoen [59], Totaro [62] has recently shown that the group $CH^2(V)/2$ is infinite for very general abelian 3-folds V over \mathbb{C} ; hence $W(V) = W(V)/2$ is infinite. If we regard V as being defined over \mathbb{R} by restriction of scalars then the map $W(V) \rightarrow WR(V)$ is defined and its kernel is generally infinitely generated. If $V_0 = \text{Spec}(A)$ is an affine open subvariety of V , $CH^2(V_0)/2$ will also be infinite, so $W(A)$ is not finitely generated either.

Our next result shows that the (possibly infinite) kernel of θ_n has a bounded exponent. It will be used to give more precise bounds in Theorem 8.4. In addition to Theorem 7.6, our proof uses the main results in [11] and a variant of Bott periodicity, given in Appendix B, which was originally proved in [30]. A similar result (with a different proof) has been given by Jacobson in [24].

Proposition 8.1. *For all $n \geq 0$ and d there exists an integer N such that, for every d -dimensional algebraic variety V over \mathbb{R} , the kernel of the map $W_n(V) \xrightarrow{\theta_n} WR_n(V)$ is killed by 2^N .*

The same is true for the kernel of ${}_{-1}W_n(V) \rightarrow {}_{-1}WR_n(V)$.

Proof. Let GW^- and GR^- denote the antisymmetric parts of GW and GR for the involution defined in Section 7. In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} GW_n^-(V) & \longrightarrow & GR_n^-(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W_n(V) & \xrightarrow{\theta_n} & WR_n(V). \end{array}$$

the kernels and cokernels of the vertical maps have exponent 2, by Corollary 7.3.1. By Theorem 7.6, θ_n is an isomorphism modulo 2-primary torsion. Therefore, we have an isomorphism for all n :

$$GW_n^-(V) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \cong GR_n^-(V) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2].$$

Now let $GW_n^{c,-}(V)$ denote the antisymmetric subgroup of the comparison group $GW_n^c(V)$. It fits into a chain complex

$$GR_{n+1}^-(V) \rightarrow GW_{n+1}^{c,-}(V) \rightarrow GW_n^-(V) \rightarrow GR_n^-(V) \rightarrow GW_{n-1}^{c,-}(V)$$

whose homology groups have exponent 2. It follows that $GW_n^{c,-}(V)$ is a 2-primary torsion group for all n .

Now suppose that $n \geq d - 2$. Then $GW_n^c(V)$ and $GW_{n-1}^c(V)$ are 2-torsionfree by Theorem 5.4. Therefore the subgroups $GW_n^{c,-}(V)$ and $GW_{n-1}^{c,-}(V)$ must be zero. It follows that the kernel and cokernel of $GW_n^-(V) \rightarrow GR_n^-(V)$ have exponent 2. Because of the commutative square above, the kernel and cokernel of $W_n(V) \rightarrow WR_n(V)$ have exponent 8, proving the theorem when $n \geq d - 2$.

In order to prove the theorem for general $n \geq 0$, we use the periodicity maps $W_n \rightarrow W_{n+4}$ and $W_{n+4} \rightarrow W_n$, established in [30], whose composition is multiplication by 16 by Corollary B.4. (See Appendix B for more details). Therefore, if we choose an integer k such that $n + 4k \geq d - 2$, we see that the kernel and cokernel of the map $W_n(V) \xrightarrow{\theta} WR_n(V)$ are killed by $8 \cdot 16^k = 2^{4k+3}$.

The final assertion is proven the same way, using Corollary 7.7 and Theorem 5.7 in place of Theorems 7.6 and 5.4. \square

Remark 8.1.1. Suppose that V is a smooth (or even divisorial) variety with no real points. Knebusch proved in [41, p. 189, Theorem 3] that $W(V)$ is a 2-primary torsion group of bounded exponent. Combining this with Theorem 7.6, we get another proof of Proposition 8.1 for this class of varieties.

We can improve Proposition 8.1, giving explicit bounds for the exponents of the kernel and cokernel. We first consider large n .

Proposition 8.2. *Let V be a variety over \mathbb{R} . If $n \geq \dim(V) - 2$, the kernel and cokernel of $\theta_n : W_n(V) \rightarrow WR_n(V)$ have exponent 2.*

The kernel and cokernel of ${}_{-1}W_n(V) \rightarrow {}_{-1}WR_n(V)$ also have exponent 2.

Proof. For $n \geq \dim(V) - 2$ we consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 K_n^c(V) & \rightarrow & GW_n^c(V) & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow u & & & & \\
 K_n(V) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{G}W_n(V) & \xrightarrow{v} & W_n(V) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \theta_n & & \\
 KR_n(V) & \rightarrow & GR_n(V) & \xrightarrow{w} & WR_n(V) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \partial & & & & \\
 & & GW_{n-1}^c(V) & & & &
 \end{array}$$

By Theorem 7.6, $\ker(\theta_n)$ and $\operatorname{coker}(\theta_n)$ are 2-primary torsion groups. On the other hand, by Lemma 7.3, there are maps $v' : W_n(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_n(V)$ and $w' : WR_n(V) \rightarrow GR_n(V)$, compatible with β and θ_n , such that $v \circ v'$ and $w \circ w'$ are multiplication by 2. Since $GW_{n-1}^c(V)$ is 2-torsionfree by Theorem 5.4, the map $\operatorname{coker}(\theta_n) \rightarrow GW_{n-1}^c(V)$ induced by $\partial w'$ must be zero. That is, if $a \in WR_n(V)$ then $w'(a) = \beta(b)$ for some b , and hence $2a = w(w'(a))$ is $\theta_n(v(b))$. Thus $\operatorname{coker}(\theta_n)$ has exponent 2.

The image D of $u : GW_n^c(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_n(V)$ is a divisible 2-group, and its image $v(D)$ in $\ker(\theta_n)$ is zero by Proposition 8.1. If $x \in \ker(\theta_n)$ then $v'(x)$ is in $\ker(\beta) = D$ and hence $2x = v(v'(x))$ is in $v(D) = 0$. Thus $2\ker(\theta_n) = 0$.

To obtain the final assertion, replace Theorem 7.6 by Corollary 7.7. \square

Let V be a variety over \mathbb{R} . The *higher signature maps* are the maps

$$W_n(V) \longrightarrow WR_n(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong KO_n(V_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

studied by Brumfiel (Theorem 6.1). If $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ has c connected components, the classical *signature map* $W(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^c$ may be regarded as the higher signature followed by the rank $KO(V_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^c$. Combining Theorem 7.4 and Proposition 8.2, we obtain the following result. Note that $X = V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $X - X^G$ have dimension $2\dim(V)$ as CW complexes.

Corollary 8.3. *Let V be a variety over \mathbb{R} of dimension d . If $n \geq d-2$, the kernel and cokernel of the higher signature map $W_n(V) \rightarrow KO_n(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ have exponent 2^{f+2} , where $f = f(2d)$.*

If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, recall that $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the least integer $n \geq x$.

Theorem 8.4. *Let V be an algebraic variety over \mathbb{R} of dimension d . The kernel and cokernel of the comparison map*

$$W(V) \rightarrow WR(V)$$

are of exponent $4 \cdot 16^m$, where $m = \lceil (d-2)/8 \rceil$.

The same statement is true for the co-Witt groups $W'(V)$, and for the skew-symmetric Witt groups ${}_{-1}W(V)$.

Proof. Fix $n = 8m$. As $n \geq d-2$, the kernel and cokernel of

$$\theta_n : W_n(V) \longrightarrow WR_n(V)$$

have exponent 2, by Proposition 8.2. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_0(V) & \xrightarrow{u_n} & W_n(V) & \xrightarrow{u_{-n}} & W_0(V) \\ \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta_n & & \downarrow \theta \\ WR_0(V) & \xrightarrow{u_n} & WR_n(V) & \xrightarrow{u_{-n}} & WR_0(V) \end{array}$$

where u_n and u_{-n} are the periodicity maps of Lemma B.2. By Corollary B.3, the compositions $u_{-n}u_n$ are multiplication by $2 \cdot 16^m$. Since the kernel and cokernel of θ_n have exponent 2, the kernel and cokernel of θ have exponent $4 \cdot 16^m$. The proof for the skew-symmetric Witt groups is the same.

The theorem is proved for co-Witt groups in the same way, taking into account that the maps u_{-8} may be factored through the co-Witt groups $W'_{-8}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ and $WR'_{-8}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$; see the proof of Lemma B.2. \square

Corollary 8.5. *If V has no \mathbb{R} -points, $W(V)$ has exponent $2^{2+4m+f(2d)}$.*

Proof. Combine Theorems 7.2 and 8.4. \square

Corollary 8.5 is not the best possible bound. When $\dim(V) = 1$, and V is a smooth projective curve with no real points, $W(V)$ has exponent 2 or 4 by Theorem 4.6. When $\dim(V) = 2$, we know from Example 8.8 that $W(V)$ has exponent 4 or 8.

Theorem 8.6. *The kernel and cokernel of the signature $W(V) \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ have exponent 2^{3+4m+f} , where $f = f(2d)$ and $m = \lceil (d-2)/8 \rceil$.*

Proof. If $w \in W(V)$ vanishes in $KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ then $2^{1+f}w$ must vanish in $WR(V)$, by Theorem 7.4. By Theorem 8.4, $2^{2+4m}(2^{1+f}w) = 0$. A similar argument applies to the cokernel. \square

We now turn our attention to varieties of arbitrary dimension d , starting with $d \leq 8$. We saw in Theorem 4.1 that if $\dim(V) = 1$ then $\theta : W(V) \rightarrow WR(V)$ is an isomorphism. If $d = 2$ we have the following immediate consequence of Proposition 8.2.

Proposition 8.7. *For any variety V over \mathbb{R} of dimension 2, the kernel and cokernel of $W(V) \xrightarrow{\theta} WR(V)$ have exponent at most 2.*

The same is true for ${}_{-1}W(V) \rightarrow {}_{-1}WR(V)$.

Example 8.8. If V is a smooth projective surface over \mathbb{R} , Sujatha has computed $W(V)$ in [60, 3.1–3.2]. If $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ has $c > 0$ components, $W(V)$ is the sum of \mathbb{Z}^c and a torsion group of the form $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/4)^n$; if $V_{\mathbb{R}} = \emptyset$, $W(V)$ has the form $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^m \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/4)^n \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/8)^t$ where $t \leq 1$. Thus $WR(V)$ detects a nontrivial piece of $W(V)$.

Lemma 8.9. *If V is a smooth variety over \mathbb{R} of dimension $d = 3$, the torsion subgroup of $\ker(W_0(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2)$ has exponent 8.*

Proof. Let $F = \mathbb{R}(V)$ denote the function field of V . According to Pardon [48, Thm. A] and Balmer–Walter Purity [7, 10.3], $W(V)$ injects into $W(F)$. If I is the kernel of $W(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$, it is known (see [18, 35.29] for example) that the ideal I^n of $W(F)$ is torsionfree for $n > d = 3$. Since $2 \in I$, the torsion subgroup of I has exponent $2^d = 8$. \square

For 3-folds, the cokernel of $W(V) \rightarrow WR(V)$ has exponent 32 by Theorem 8.10 below. (Theorem 8.4 gives an upper bound of only 64, and Proposition 8.2 does not apply in this case.)

Theorem 8.10. *Let V be an algebraic variety of dimension at most 6 over \mathbb{R} . Then the kernel and cokernel of the associated map*

$$\theta : W_0(V) \longrightarrow WR_0(V)$$

have exponent 32. The same property holds for co-Witt groups.

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_0(V) & \xrightarrow{u_4} & W_4(V) & \xrightarrow{u_{-4}} & W_0(V) \\ \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta_4 & & \downarrow \theta \\ WR_0(V) & \xrightarrow{u_4} & WR_4(V) & \xrightarrow{u_{-4}} & WR_0(V). \end{array}$$

By Corollary B.4, the horizontal compositions are multiplication by 16. By Proposition 8.2, both the kernel and cokernel of θ_4 have exponent 2. The result now follows from a diagram chase. \square

For varieties of dimension 4–6, Theorem 8.10 says that in passing from $W(V)$ to $WR(V)$ we lose up to 5 powers of 2. For varieties of dimension d , $7 \leq d \leq 10$, we lose another power of 2.

Theorem 8.11. *Let V be an algebraic variety over \mathbb{R} of dimension d with $7 \leq d \leq 10$. Then the kernel and cokernel of $\theta : W(V) \rightarrow WR(V)$ have exponent 64 .*

Proof. The proof of Theorem 8.10 goes through, using Corollary B.3. Alternatively, this follows from Theorem 8.4. \square

Combining Theorem 7.4 with Theorems 8.10 and 8.11, we get a slight improvement over the exponent $2^{7+f(2d)}$ predicted by Theorem 8.6 for varieties of dimension $d \leq 10$.

Corollary 8.12. *If $\dim(V) \leq 6$ (resp., $\dim(V) \leq 10$) the kernel and cokernel of the signature map $W_0(V) \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ have exponent $64 \cdot 2^f$ (resp., $128 \cdot 2^f$). Here $f = f(2 \dim V)$.*

Here is the general result for varieties of dimension $d > 10$. It is proved by the same method.

Theorem 8.13. *Let V be a variety of dimension $d \geq 11$ over \mathbb{R} . Then the kernel and cokernel of $W(V) \rightarrow WR(V)$ have exponent 2^r , where 2^r is given by the following table (for $m > 0$):*

If $d = 8m, 8m \pm 1$ or $8m + 2$ then $2^r = 4 \cdot 16^m$

If $d = 8m + 3$ or $8m + 4$ then $2^r = 16^{m+1}$

If $d = 8m + 5$ or $8m + 6$ then $2^r = 4 \cdot 16^{m+1}$.

The kernel and cokernel of the signature map $W(V) \rightarrow KO(V_{\mathbb{R}})$ have exponent 2^{r+f+1} , where $f = f(2d)$.

Proof. If $d = 8m + 3$ or $8m + 4$, we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_0(V) & \xrightleftharpoons{u_2} & {}_{-1}W_2(V) & \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} & {}_{-1}W_{8m+2}(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ WR_0(V) & \xrightleftharpoons{u_2} & {}_{-1}WR_2(V) & \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} & {}_{-1}WR_{8m+2}(V), \end{array}$$

whose first pair of left-right composites are multiplication by 4 (see Lemma B.5), and whose second pair of left-right composites are multiplication by $2 \cdot 16^m$, by Corollary B.3) By the skew-symmetric version

of Proposition 8.2, the kernel and cokernel of the right vertical map have exponent 2. The assertion about 2^r follows by diagram chasing.

When $d \not\equiv 3, 4 \pmod{8}$, the assertions in the first part (about 2^r) follow from Theorem 8.4. The last part of the theorem (about the signature map) is a consequence of the first part and Theorem 7.4. \square

9. CO-WITT GROUPS

We briefly turn our attention to co-Witt groups, i.e., to the kernels ${}_{\varepsilon}W'_n$ and ${}_{\varepsilon}WR'_n$ of the forgetful maps ${}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{G}W_n \rightarrow K_n$ and ${}_{\varepsilon}GR_n \rightarrow KR_n$,

Composing the injection ${}_{\varepsilon}W'_n(V) \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{G}W_n(V)$ with the surjection ${}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{G}W_n(V) \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}W_n(V)$ gives a map $c : {}_{\varepsilon}W'_n(V) \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}W_n(V)$. There is a similar map ${}_{\varepsilon}WR'_n(V) \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}WR_n(V)$. Recall from [30, p. 278] that the given maps c fit into compatible 12-term sequences, part of which is

$$(9.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} k'_n & \longrightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}W'_n(V) & \xrightarrow{c} & {}_{\varepsilon}W_n(V) & \longrightarrow & k_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta' & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ kr'_n & \longrightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}WR'_n(V) & \xrightarrow{c} & {}_{\varepsilon}WR_n(V) & \longrightarrow & kr_n \end{array}$$

where $k_n = H_1(G, K_n V)$ and $k'_n = H^1(G, K_n V)$, $kr_n = H_1(G, KR_n V)$ and $kr'_n = H^1(G, KR_n V)$. (G acts on K_n and KR_n by duality.)

Lemma 9.2. *The kernels and cokernels of ${}_{\varepsilon}W'_n(V) \xrightarrow{c} {}_{\varepsilon}W_n(V)$ and ${}_{\varepsilon}WR'_n(V) \xrightarrow{c} {}_{\varepsilon}WR_n(V)$ have exponent 2.*

Proof. Since $H_1(G, -)$ and $H^1(G, -)$ have exponent 2, the groups k_n, k'_n, kr_n and kr'_n have exponent 2. The result is immediate from (9.1). \square

We now restrict to curves for simplicity. Given the isomorphism $W(V) \cong WR(V)$ of Theorem 4.1 (and Proposition 4.8), the following result is immediate from Lemma 9.2 and (9.1).

Corollary 9.3. *Let V be a curve over \mathbb{R} . Then $W'(V) \xrightarrow{\theta'} WR'(V)$ has kernel of exponent 2 and cokernel of exponent 4.*

The same is true of ${}_{-1}W'(V) \xrightarrow{\theta'} {}_{-1}WR'(V)$.

In order to improve on this corollary, we need a technical result.

Proposition 9.4. *Let V be a smooth curve over R and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then the canonical map θ' is an isomorphism:*

$$\theta' : {}_{\varepsilon}W'_{-1}(V) \xrightarrow{\cong} {}_{\varepsilon}WR'_{-1}(V).$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}W'_{-1}(V) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & {}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{G}W_{-1}(V) & \rightarrow & K_{-1}(V) = 0 \\ & & \downarrow \theta' & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}WR'_{-1}(V) & \rightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}GR_{-1}(V) & \rightarrow & KR_{-1}(V) \end{array}$$

By a chase, $\ker(\theta')$ equals the kernel of ${}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{G}W_{-1}(V) \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}GR_{-1}(V)$. It is 2-divisible by Theorems 5.4 and 5.7, and exponent 4 by Proposition 8.2. Therefore, $\ker(\theta') = 0$.

To prove the surjectivity of θ' , we use the sequence (1.4):

$$K_0(V) \rightarrow {}_{-\varepsilon}U(V) \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{G}W_{-1}(V) \rightarrow K_{-1}(V) = 0.$$

This shows that ${}_{\varepsilon}W'_{-1}(V)$ is the cokernel of $K_0(V) \rightarrow {}_{-\varepsilon}U(V)$ (note the change of symmetry). Therefore, it is enough to prove the surjectivity of the map between $\text{coker}(K_0(V) \rightarrow {}_{-\varepsilon}U(V))$ and $\text{coker}(KR_0(V) \rightarrow {}_{-\varepsilon}UR(V))$. For this, we write the same diagram as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, with U -theory in lieu of GW -theory:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_0(V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & {}_{-\varepsilon}U_0(V) & \rightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{G}W_{-1}(V) & \rightarrow & K_{-1}(V) = 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ KR_0(V) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & {}_{-\varepsilon}UR_0(V) & \rightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}GR_{-1}(V) & \rightarrow & KR_{-1}(V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ K_{-1}^c(V) & \rightarrow & {}_{-\varepsilon}U_{-1}^c(V) & \rightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}GW_{-2}^c(V) & \rightarrow & K_{-2}^c(V) \end{array}$$

In this case, the top two rows are the exact sequences (1.4) and (1.5), and $K_0(V) \rightarrow KR_0(V)$ is onto by Example 3.3. Hence the cokernel of $\text{coker}(\alpha) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\beta)$ injects into ${}_{-\varepsilon}U_{-1}^c(V)$.

The exact sequence in the second column may be extended to:

$${}_{-\varepsilon}U_0(V) \xrightarrow{\delta} {}_{-\varepsilon}UR_0(V) \xrightarrow{\gamma} {}_{-\varepsilon}U_{-1}^c(V) \rightarrow {}_{-\varepsilon}U_{-1}(V) \rightarrow {}_{-\varepsilon}UR_{-1}(V).$$

Since $K_{-1}(V) = 0$, we see from (1.4) that the Witt group ${}_{-\varepsilon}W_0(V)$ is the group ${}_{-\varepsilon}U_{-1}(V)$. We now distinguish the cases $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

If $\varepsilon = 1$, the group ${}_{-1}W(V)$ is 0 by Proposition 4.8 and therefore γ is onto. On the other hand, ${}_{-1}UR_0(V)$ is finitely generated and ${}_{-1}U_{-1}^c(V)$ is uniquely 2-divisible by Corollary 5.5. This implies that the image of γ is a finite abelian group of odd order, i.e. 0 after localizing at 2. Therefore, the map $\text{coker}(\alpha) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\beta)$ is onto.

If $\varepsilon = -1$, the classical Witt group $W(V) = {}_{-1}W_0(V) = {}_{-1}U_{-1}(V)$ is inserted in the exact sequence

$${}_{-1}U_{-1}^c(V) \rightarrow W(V) \rightarrow {}_{-1}UR_{-1}(V) \rightarrow {}_{-1}U_{-2}^c(V)$$

Since ${}_{-1}U_{-1}^c(V)$ is uniquely 2-divisible and $W(V) = WR(V)$ is finitely generated, the first map is reduced to 0. This implies again that γ is onto and therefore ${}_{-1}U_{-1}^c(V) = 0$. We now finish the proof as in the case $\varepsilon = 1$. \square

Theorem 9.5. *Let V be a smooth irreducible curve over \mathbb{R} . Then the map θ' between co-Witt groups is an injection whose cokernel E is a finite group of exponent 2.*

$$0 \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}W'(V) \xrightarrow{\theta'} {}_{\varepsilon}WR'(V) \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

If V is projective of genus g then $\text{rank}(E) = g$; if V is obtained from a projective curve by removing r points then $g \leq \text{rank}(E) \leq g + r - 1$.

Proof. We extend (9.1) slightly to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
-_{\varepsilon}W'_{-1} & \rightarrow & k'_0 & \rightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}W' & \rightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}W & \rightarrow & k_0 & \rightarrow & -_{\varepsilon}W_1 \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \theta' & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \text{onto} & & \downarrow \cong \\
-_{\varepsilon}WR'_{-1} & \rightarrow & kr'_0 & \rightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}WR' & \rightarrow & {}_{\varepsilon}WR & \rightarrow & kr_0 & \rightarrow & -_{\varepsilon}WR_1.
\end{array}$$

We first explain the decorations on the vertical maps in the diagram. Now $K_0(V) \rightarrow KR_0(V)$ is a split surjection (by Example 3.3), and G acts as -1 on the kernel D , which is divisible. Therefore the vertical $k'_0 \rightarrow kr'_0$ is an isomorphism, and the vertical $k_0 \rightarrow kr_0$ is a split surjection with kernel ${}_2D = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2, D)$. The left vertical map is an isomorphism by Proposition 9.4, and the map ${}_{\varepsilon}W \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}WR$ is an isomorphism by Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.8.

If $\varepsilon = +1$, then $-_{\varepsilon}W_1(V) = -_{\varepsilon}WR_1(V) = 0$ by Corollary 4.8. If $\varepsilon = -1$, then $-_{\varepsilon}W_1(V) \cong -_{\varepsilon}WR_1(V) = 0$ by Theorem 4.1.

By a diagram chase, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}W'(V) \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}WR'(V) \rightarrow {}_2D \rightarrow 0.$$

It remains to observe that if V is projective then $D \cong (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g$ (so ${}_2D = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^g$), and if V has r points at infinity then D is the cokernel of a map $\mathbb{Z}^{r-1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^g$, which gives the bounds $g \leq \dim({}_2D) \leq g + r - 1$. \square

10. HIGHER WITT AND CO-WITT GROUPS OF \mathbb{R} AND \mathbb{C}

In this section, we determine the Witt groups $W_n(\mathbb{R})$, $W_n(\mathbb{C})$ and co-Witt groups $W'_n(\mathbb{R})$, $W'_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $n > 0$. We will show that the canonical maps $W_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $W_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow WR_n(\mathbb{C})$ are almost isomorphisms, and similarly for $W'_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{C})$. We also show that the maps $W'_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$ are isomorphisms for all $n > 0$.

For \mathbb{R} , recall from Example 2.4 that both $WR_n(\mathbb{R}) = WR_n(\text{Spec } \mathbb{R})$ (called $W_n^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ in Appendix B) and the co-Witt groups $WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$ are isomorphic to the 8-periodic groups $KO_n(\text{pt})$.

Theorem 10.1. *The homomorphism $W_n(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\theta_n} WR_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong KO_n$ is an isomorphism for all $n > 0$, except when $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ when the group $W_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ injects into $WR_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ as a subgroup of index 2.*

Proof. We first show that θ_n is injective for all $n > 0$. Take an element \bar{x} in the kernel of $W_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR_n(\mathbb{R})$ and lift it to an element x of $GW_n(\mathbb{R})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_n^c(\mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & GW_n^c(\mathbb{R}) & & & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow u & & & & \\ K_n(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{H} & GW_n(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{v} & W_n(\mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \theta_n & & \\ KO_n & \rightarrow & GR_n(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{w} & WR_n(\mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

If $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, the map $K_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow KO_n(\text{pt})$ is onto. By a diagram chase, we can modify x by an element $H(a)$ to assume that $\beta(x) = 0$. Since $GW_n^c(\mathbb{R})$ is 2-divisible (by Theorem 5.4), there is a $y \in GW_n^c(\mathbb{R})$ such that $u(2y) = x$ and hence $\bar{x} = 2vu(y)$. Since the kernel of θ_n has exponent 2 (by Theorem 8.2) this yields $\bar{x} = 0$. Hence θ_n is an injection for these n .

If $n \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{8}$, $2x$ comes from the 2-divisible $K_n(\mathbb{R})$; modifying x by $H(a)$, where $H(2a) = 2x$, we may assume that $2x = 0$. Hence $\beta(x)$ is a torsion element in $GR_n(\mathbb{R})$ lying in $\ker(w)$. As pointed out in Example 2.4, $\ker(w) \cong KO_n \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\beta(x) = 0$. As before, there is a $y \in GW_n^c(\mathbb{R})$ such that $x = u(2y)$ and hence $\bar{x} = 2vu(y) = 0$.

Next, we show that θ_n is a surjection for $n \not\equiv 0, 4 \pmod{8}$. This is trivial for $n \equiv 3, 5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$, as $WR_n(\mathbb{R}) = 0$ for these values. To see that θ_n is a surjection when $n \equiv 1, 2$ we need to show that the nonzero element of $WR_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ is in the image of θ_n . This is true because the J -homomorphism $\pi_n^s \rightarrow KO_n$ is surjective, factoring as

$$\pi_n^s = \pi_n B\Sigma^+ \rightarrow \varinjlim_m \pi_n BO_{m,m}^+(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \pi_n BGL^+(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \pi_n BO = KO_n,$$

and $\varinjlim_m \pi_n BO_{m,m}^+(\mathbb{R}) = GW_n(\mathbb{R})$ for $n > 0$.

Now suppose that $n \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{8}$. We know that $W_n(\mathbb{R})$ injects into $WR_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ as a subgroup of index at most 2, by Theorem 8.2.

The 12-term sequences of [30, p. 278] for \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^{top} yield a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} \cong W_n(\mathbb{R}) & \hookrightarrow & WR_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ onto} \\ 0 = k_n(\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & kr_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2. \end{array}$$

where $k_n(\mathbb{R}) = H_1(G, K_n\mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $kr_n(\mathbb{R}) = H_1(G, KO_n) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. This shows that the cokernel of $W_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR_n(\mathbb{R})$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$. \square

We have a stronger result for the co-Witt groups. Recall from Example 2.4 that $GR_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong KO_n \oplus KO_n$ and that the forgetful map to $KR_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong KO_n$ is identified with addition, so $WR'_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong KO_n$. Regarding $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ as $X = S^0$ with the nontrivial involution, we also see from Example 2.4 that $GR_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong KO_n$.

Proposition 10.2. *For all $n > 0$, the map $W'_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong KO_n$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We first observe that the kernel and cokernel of $W'_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$ are 2-primary torsion groups of bounded exponent. This follows for example from Theorem 10.1 and the map of 12-term sequences (see [30, p. 278])

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} k'_n & \longrightarrow & W'_n(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{c} & W_n(\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & k_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta' & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ kr'_n & \longrightarrow & WR'_n(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{c} & WR_n(\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & kr_n \end{array}$$

since the groups k'_n, k_n, kr'_n and kr_n have exponent 2.

To see that $W'_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$ is injective, recall from Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 5.4 that $K_n^c(\mathbb{R})$ and $GW_n^c(\mathbb{R})$ are 2-divisible groups. Therefore the pullback P in the following diagram is 2-divisible.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P & \hookrightarrow & GW_n^c(\mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & K_n^c(\mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W'_n(\mathbb{R}) & \hookrightarrow & GW_n(\mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & K_n(\mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ WR'_n(\mathbb{R}) & \hookrightarrow & GR_n(\mathbb{R}) & \rightarrow & KO_n \end{array}$$

By a diagram chase, we see that the left column is exact, so the kernel of $W'_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$ is 2-divisible, as well as having a bounded exponent, and hence must be zero.

Since $WR'_n(\mathbb{R}) = 0$ for $n \equiv 3, 5, 6, 7 \pmod{8}$, it remains to consider the cases $n \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{8}$. We will show that the cokernel of $W'_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a group of odd order; since it is also 2-torsion, it is zero. For this we use the homotopy sequence associated to (B.1). If A is an abelian group, $A_{(2)}$ will denote the localization of A at the prime 2.

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we have $GR_n(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $GR_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}$. By [19], $GW_n(\mathbb{F}_3)$ is either 0 or $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Thus the homotopy exact sequence

from (B.1) is

$$GW_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})_{(2)} \oplus GW_n(\mathbb{F}_3) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \rightarrow 0.$$

In addition, $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ is a finite group of odd order, so $W'_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} \cong GW_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)}$. Thus we have the following commutative diagram, with the middle column exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W'_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & GW_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ WR'_n(\mathbb{R})_{(2)} & \hookrightarrow & GR_n(\mathbb{R})_{(2)} & \rightarrow & (KO_n)_{(2)} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & GR_n(\mathbb{C})_{(2)} & \xrightarrow{\text{into}} & (KU_n)_{(2)} \end{array}$$

A diagram chase shows that $W'_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R})_{(2)}$ is onto. This implies that the cokernel of $W'_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$, and *a fortiori* the cokernel C of $W'_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$, is an odd torsion group. Since C is a 2-primary torsion group (by Corollary 9.3), it is zero, i.e., $W'_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a surjection.

If $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, we have $KO_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$, $GR_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong KO_n \oplus KO_n$, and the maps to $KR_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong KO_n$ and to $GR_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong KO_n$ agree (both being addition). In addition, $WR'_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong KO_n$ and $GW_n(\mathbb{F}_3)$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$, so the homotopy exact sequence from (B.1) is

$$GR_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GW_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2 \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

From the diagram (whose middle column is exact)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & (KO_{n-1})_{(2)} & \rightarrow & (KU_{n-1})_{(2)} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W'_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} & \hookrightarrow & GW_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} & \rightarrow & K_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ WR'_n(\mathbb{R}) \oplus GW_n(\mathbb{F}_3) & \hookrightarrow & GR_n(\mathbb{R}) \oplus GW_n(\mathbb{F}_3) & \rightarrow & KO_n \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & GR_n(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & (KU_n)_{(2)} \end{array}$$

we see that the map $WR'_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GR_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ is zero.

If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $K_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$, and the map from $KO_{n-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ to KU_{n-1} is an isomorphism; see [66, VI.10.1]. A diagram chase shows that the vertical map $W'_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ is onto. *A fortiori*, $W'_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$ is onto.

If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, $K_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ is the sum of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and a finite group of odd order; see [66, VI.10.1]. Thus the map $K_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_{(2)} \rightarrow KO_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ is an isomorphism. In this case, an easy diagram chase shows that $W'_n(\mathbb{R})$ maps onto $WR'_n(\mathbb{R})$. This contradicts the injectivity part of the proof above. \square

Next we describe the Witt and co-Witt groups of \mathbb{C} , where we consider \mathbb{C} with the trivial involution. Viewing $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ as a variety over

\mathbb{R} , the associated Real space X of complex points is S^0 with the non-trivial involution. by Theorem 2.2, our groups $GR_n(\mathbb{C})$ are just KO_n , $KR_n(\mathbb{C})$ is KU_n and the Witt group $WR_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the cokernel of the forgetful map $KU_n \rightarrow KO_n$. Similarly, the co-Witt group $WR'_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the kernel of the complexification $KO_n \rightarrow KU_n$. In either event, $WR_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $WR'_n(\mathbb{C})$ are either 0 or $\mathbb{Z}/2$.

Recall that $K_n(\mathbb{C})$ is uniquely divisible for even $n > 0$, and is the sum of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} and a uniquely divisible group for odd $n > 0$.

Theorem 10.3. *For $n > 0$, $GW_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the sum of a uniquely 2-divisible group and the 2-primary torsion group:*

$$\begin{cases} 0, & n \equiv 0, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8}; \\ \mathbb{Z}/2, & n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{8}; \\ (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{(2)}, & n \equiv 3, 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

The Witt groups are:

$$W_n(\mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2, & n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{8}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The co-Witt groups are:

$$W'_n(\mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2, & n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{8}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The maps $W_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow WR_n(\mathbb{C})$ are isomorphisms for $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, and the maps $W'_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{C})$ are isomorphisms for $n \not\equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$.

Proof. Since $WR_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $WR'_n(\mathbb{C})$ are at most $\mathbb{Z}/2$, Theorems 7.4 and 7.6 imply that $W_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $W'_n(\mathbb{C})$ are 2-primary groups of bounded exponent. Consider the following diagram, where we have omitted the $'(\mathbb{C})'$ for legibility.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & W'_n & \longrightarrow & WR'_n & & & W'_{n-1} & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & \\ GW_n^c & \longrightarrow & GW_n & \longrightarrow & KO_n & \longrightarrow & GW_{n-1}^c & \longrightarrow & GW_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_n^c & \longrightarrow & K_n & \longrightarrow & KU_n & \longrightarrow & K_{n-1}^c & \longrightarrow & K_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ GW_n^c & \longrightarrow & GW_n & \longrightarrow & KO_n & \longrightarrow & GW_{n-1}^c & \longrightarrow & GW_{n-1} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ & & W_n & \longrightarrow & WR_n & & & & W_{n-1} \end{array}$$

By Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 3.2, the comparison groups GW_n^c and K_n^c are uniquely 2-divisible for $n \geq 0$.

If $n \equiv 0, 4$ then KO_n and KU_n are \mathbb{Z} , and KO_{n+1} is a torsion group. In addition, $KO_{n-1} = KU_{n-1} = 0$, and $K_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow KU_n$ is zero. A diagram chase shows that $GW_n^c \cong GW_n$, and that $KO_n \cong \mathbb{Z}$ injects into GW_{n-1}^c with cokernel GW_{n-1} . The first fact implies that W_n and

W'_n are uniquely 2-divisible, hence zero. The second fact implies that GW_{n-1} is the sum of a uniquely 2-divisible group and the 2-primary divisible group $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_{(2)}$; this implies that W_{n-1} is divisible, hence zero.

If $n \equiv 4$, the map from $\mathbb{Z} = KO_n$ to $KU_n = \mathbb{Z}$ is multiplication by 2; if $n \equiv 8$ the map $KO_n \rightarrow KU_n$ is an isomorphism. Another diagram chase shows that W'_{n-1} is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ if $n \equiv 4$, and 0 if $n \equiv 8$.

If $n \equiv 5, 6$, so that $KO_{n+1} = KO_n = 0$, we see that $GW_n^c \cong GW_n$. As this is uniquely 2-divisible, this forces $W_n = W'_n = 0$.

This shows that $W_n = WR_n = 0$ for $n \not\equiv 0, 1, 2$, $W_n \not\cong WR_n$ for $n \equiv 3$, $W'_n = WR'_n = 0$ for $n \not\equiv 1, 2, 3$, and $W'_n \not\cong WR'_n$ for $n \equiv 3$.

We are left to consider the cases $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{8}$. In these cases, $KO_{n+1} \rightarrow GW_n^c$ and $KO_n \rightarrow GW_{n-1}^c$ must be zero, so the top row yields split exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow GW_n^c \rightarrow GW_n \rightarrow KO_n \rightarrow 0.$$

That is, GW_n is the sum of the uniquely 2-divisible group GW_n^c and $\mathbb{Z}/2$, as asserted.

If $n \equiv 2$, $K_n(\mathbb{C})$ is uniquely divisible; this implies that $W_n \xrightarrow{\cong} WR_n$ and $W'_n \xrightarrow{\cong} WR'_n$ are $\mathbb{Z}/2$. If $n \equiv 1$, the bounded exponent of $W_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the divisibility of $K_n(\mathbb{C})$ implies that $W_n(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} WR_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Next, we show that $W'_n(\mathbb{C}) = 0$ when $n \equiv 1$. The bounded exponent of $W'_n(\mathbb{C})$ as a subgroup of $GW_n(\mathbb{C})$ implies that $W'_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a subgroup of the 2-primary torsion subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/2$ of $GW_n(\mathbb{C})$, and that $W'_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow WR'_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ is an injection. Therefore, it suffices to show that the map from $W'_n(\mathbb{C})$ to $WR'_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ is zero when $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

For this, recall from (1.3) that $W'_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the image of the map $GW_{n+1}^{[1]} \rightarrow GW_n(\mathbb{C})$, and $WR'_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ is the image of the map $GR_{n+1}^{[1]}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GR_n(\mathbb{C})$. (The group $GW_{n+1}^{[1]} = GW_{n+1}^{[1]}(\mathbb{C})$ is sometimes written as $V_n(\mathbb{C})$.) Consider the commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & K_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & KU_{n+1} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ GW_{n+1}^{[1],c} & \longrightarrow & GW_{n+1}^{[1]} & \longrightarrow & GR_{n+1}^{[1]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ GW_n^c & \longrightarrow & GW_n(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & GR_n(\mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

In the right vertical sequence we have $GR_{n+1}^{[1]} \cong KO_{n-1} \cong \mathbb{Z}$; see [3, 3.2].

If the map $GW_{n+1}^{[1]} \rightarrow GR_{n+1}^{[1]}$ were nonzero, $GW_{n+1}^{[1]}$ would contain a summand isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . Since $K_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ is divisible, this summand would inject into the subgroup W'_n of $GW_n(\mathbb{C})$. But this contradicts the fact that $W'_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Therefore the map $GW_{n+1}^{[1]} \rightarrow GR_{n+1}^{[1]}$ is zero; this implies that the map from the quotient $W'_n(\mathbb{C})$ of $GW_{n+1}^{[1]}$ to the quotient $WR'_n(\mathbb{C})$ of $GR_{n+1}^{[1]}$ is zero. Since we have seen that this map is an injection, we conclude that $W'_n(\mathbb{C}) = 0$. \square

APPENDIX A. ERRATA AND ADDENDA

The purpose of this short appendix is to correct some statements in [34] about the comparison map between KR -theory and equivariant KO -theory.

A.1. Proposition 1.8 in [34], which stated that if X has no fixed points then $KR^n(X)$ is 4-periodic, is false. Indeed, the $(p-1)$ -sphere with antipodal involution is a counterexample for all $p \geq 4$ with $p \not\equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. (The case $p = 4$ is in [3, 3.8].)

To see this, recall that the notation $B^{p,q}$ (resp., $S^{p,q}$) denotes the ball (resp., the sphere) in $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q = \mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ provided by the involution $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, y)$. In particular, the sphere $S^{p,q}$ has dimension $p+q-1$. The KR -theory exact sequence associated to the pair $(B, S) = (B^{p,0}, S^{p,0})$ is:

$$KR^n(B, S) \rightarrow KR^n(B) \rightarrow KR^n(S) \rightarrow KR^{n+1}(B, S) \rightarrow KR^{n+1}(B).$$

By the KR analog of Bott periodicity [25], we have $KR^n(B^{p,0}, S^{p,0}) \cong KR^{n+p}(\ast) \simeq KO^{n+p}(\ast)$, where \ast is a point. Moreover, if $p \geq 3$, it is proved by Atiyah [3, 3.8] (and [25]) that this exact sequence reduces to the split short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow KO^n(\ast) \rightarrow KR^n(S^{p,0}) \rightarrow KO^{n+p+1}(\ast) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $KR^n(S^{p,0}) \cong KO^n(\ast) \oplus KO^{n+p+1}(\ast)$. This group is indeed periodic of period 4 if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. However, it is not periodic of period 4 otherwise.

The mistake in the proof of the proposition lies in the claim that the $KR^*(X)$ -module map $KR^n(X) \rightarrow KR^{n+4}(X)$ is the square of Bott periodicity in the case $X = Y \times C_2$ with the obvious C_2 action. This cannot be true since the map $\mathbb{Z} \cong KR^4(\ast) \rightarrow KR^4(C_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is multiplication by 2.

A.2. The mistake in Proposition 1.8 propagates to Theorem 4.7 of [34], where the periodicity statement has to be amended: the KR groups are periodic of period 8 and not 4.

A.3. Example A.3 in [34] is complete, because the calculation shows that the groups $KR^n(X)$ are 4-periodic when V is a smooth curve over \mathbb{R} with no real points; the invocation of 1.8 is unnecessary.

A.4. All other results in [34], including the main results, are unaffected by this error.

APPENDIX B. HIGHER WITT GROUPS OF \mathbb{R}

In this appendix, we recall some basic facts about (positive and negative) Bott elements in higher Witt groups. We will write $W_*^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ for the ring $WR_*(\text{Spec } \mathbb{R})$; this is the Hermitian theory based upon the Banach algebra \mathbb{R} and is isomorphic to the ring $KO_*(\text{pt})$ by Example 2.4. Although $W_0(\mathbb{R}) \cong W_0^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$, the groups $W_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $W_n^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ differ for general n (see Theorem 10.1).

The following square was shown to be homotopy cartesian on connective covers in [10, Thm. A] (see also [11] for a more conceptual proof), where the notation $(\)_2^\wedge$ indicates 2-adic completion.

$$(B.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}W(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_2^\wedge & \rightarrow & \mathbb{G}W(\mathbb{R}^{\text{top}})_2^\wedge \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{G}W(\mathbb{F}_3)_2^\wedge & \rightarrow & \mathbb{G}W(\mathbb{C}^{\text{top}})_2^\wedge. \end{array}$$

By [10, 3.6], the homotopy groups of $\mathbb{G}W(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ and $\mathbb{G}W(\mathbb{F}_3)$ are finitely generated, so $\pi_n \mathbb{G}W(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \pi_n(\mathbb{G}W(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])_2^\wedge)$. From this square, we deduce the existence, for all $k > 0$, of elements u_{4k} in $\mathbb{G}W_{4k}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ whose image in $W_{4k}^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is 2 times a generator; see [32, 1.2].

The following lemma corrects a small mistake in [32, p. 200].

Lemma B.2. *There is an element u_{-8} in $W_{-8}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ whose image in $W_{-8}^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ is 16 times a generator.*

There is an element u_{-4} in $W_{-4}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ whose image in $W_{-4}^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2 times a generator.

Proof. Set $\mathbb{Z}' = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ and recall that the map from $W_0(\mathbb{Z}') \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ to $W_0^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is onto. We have strict inclusions or equalities coming from the 12-term exact sequence for \mathbb{Z}' and \mathbb{R} (with its usual topology) [30]:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_1W_0(\mathbb{Z}') &= {}_{-1}W_{-2}(\mathbb{Z}') = {}_1W'_{-4}(\mathbb{Z}') = {}_1W_{-4}(\mathbb{Z}') \\ &= {}_{-1}W'_{-6}(\mathbb{Z}') = {}_{-1}W_{-6}(\mathbb{Z}') = {}_1W'_{-8}(\mathbb{Z}') = {}_1W_{-8}(\mathbb{Z}') \\ {}_1W_0^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) &= {}_{-1}W_{-2}^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) = {}_1W'^{\text{top}}_{-4}(\mathbb{R}) \subset {}_1W_{-4}^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) \\ &= {}_{-1}W'^{\text{top}}_{-6}(\mathbb{R}) \subset {}_{-1}W_{-6}^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) \subset {}_1W'^{\text{top}}_{-8}(\mathbb{R}) \subset {}_1W_{-8}^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

The comparison between these two sequences of groups [32] shows the existence of an element u_{-8} in ${}_1W_{-8}(\mathbb{Z}')$ whose image in ${}_1W_{-8}^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is 16 times a generator (not 8 times as claimed by mistake in [32, p. 200]). In the same way, there is an element u_{-4} in ${}_1W_{-4}(\mathbb{Z}')$ whose image in ${}_1W_{-4}^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is 2 times a generator. \square

We can now correct the factor of 2 in [32, Theorem 1.3].

Corollary B.3. *For any ring A (or any scheme V) over \mathbb{R} , there exist “Bott maps”*

$${}_{\varepsilon}W_n(V) \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}W_{n+8m}(V) \text{ and } {}_{\varepsilon}W_{n+8m}(V) \rightarrow {}_{\varepsilon}W_n(V),$$

such that both compositions are multiplication by $2 \cdot 16^m$.

Proof. If $m > 0$, the first map is the cup product with u_{8m} and the second map is the cup product with $(u_{-8})^m$. Lemma B.2 shows that the compositions are multiplication by $2 \cdot 16^m$ in $W_0(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. \square

The cup-product with u_4 and u_{-4} give different Bott-style maps, from ${}_{\varepsilon}W_n(V)$ to ${}_{\varepsilon}W_{n+4}(V)$ and vice versa. The following calculation is implicit in [32, 1.3].

Corollary B.4. *The image of the product $u_4 u_{-4}$ is 16 in $W_0(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Hence both compositions of the two maps*

$${}_{\varepsilon}W_n(V) \xrightarrow{u_4} {}_{\varepsilon}W_{n+4}(V) \text{ and } {}_{\varepsilon}W_{n+4}(V) \xrightarrow{u_{-4}} {}_{\varepsilon}W_n(V)$$

are multiplication by 16.

Proof. Let x_{4k} denote the standard generator of $W_{4k}^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$. It is well known that $x_8 x_{-8} = 1$, $x_{-4}^2 = 4x_{-8}$ and $x_4^2 = 4x_8$; see [28, III.5.19]. By Lemma B.2, the images of u_4^2 and u_{-4}^2 under the ring homomorphism $h : W_*(\mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \rightarrow W_*^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ are $h(u_4^2) = (2x_4)^2 = 16x_8 = 8h(u_8)$, and $h(u_{-4}^2) = (2x_{-4})^2 = 16x_{-8} = h(u_{-8})$. By Corollary B.3, $u_8 u_{-8} = 32$ in $W_0(\mathbb{R})$. Hence $(u_4 u_{-4})^2 = 8u_8 u_{-8} = 8 \cdot 32$ in $W_0(\mathbb{R}) \cong W_0^{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $u_4 u_{-4} = 16$. \square

We conclude this appendix by citing a symmetry-changing periodicity result, which we use in Theorem 8.13. It is a restatement of Theorem 3.7 in [29]. Note that it provides another proof of Lemma B.4 by iterating the periodicity map.

Lemma B.5. *There are elements u_2 in $W_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$, u_{-2} in $W_{-2}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ whose product in $W_0(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ is 4.*

If A is a ring containing $1/2$, the composition of the homomorphisms

$${}_{\varepsilon}W_n(A) \xrightarrow{u_2} {}_{-\varepsilon}W_{n+2}(A), \quad {}_{-\varepsilon}W_{n+2}(A) \xrightarrow{u_{-2}} {}_{\varepsilon}W_n(A)$$

(in either direction) is multiplication by 4.

APPENDIX C. TOPOLOGICAL HERMITIAN K -THEORY OF INVOLUTIVE BANACH ALGEBRAS

The content of this section is essentially included in [26], Section III, except at the end. The only originality is a new presentation of results which were written down over forty years ago.

It is convenient to introduce the language of Clifford modules into topological K -theory. (This was first pointed out by Atiyah, Bott and Shapiro [4] and developed in [25].) By definition, the Clifford algebra $C^{p,q}$ is the \mathbb{R} -algebra generated by elements e_i and ε_j with $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $1 \leq j \leq q$, with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (e_i)^2 &= -(\varepsilon_j)^2 = -1, \\ e_i \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_j e_i &= 0, \\ e_\alpha e_\beta + e_\beta e_\alpha &= 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta, \\ \varepsilon_\gamma \varepsilon_\delta + \varepsilon_\delta \varepsilon_\gamma &= 0 \text{ for } \gamma \neq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

If A is a Banach algebra over \mathbb{R} , a $C^{p,q}$ - A -module is a finitely generated projective module over the tensor algebra $C^{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A$; we write $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}(A)$ for the category of $C^{p,q}$ - A -modules. Using an averaging method over the Pin group (see e.g. [25, p. 185]), one sees that this category is equivalent to the category of finitely generated projective A -modules which are provided with a $C^{p,q}$ -module structure.

The group $K^{p,q}(A)$ is defined as the Grothendieck group of the “restriction of scalars” functor

$$\mathcal{E}^{p,q+1}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p,q}(A)$$

arising from $C^{p,q} \rightarrow C^{p,q+1}$. It is shown in [25] that this group is isomorphic to the classical topological K -group $K^{p,q}(A) = K_{q-p}^{\text{top}}(A)$ of the Banach algebra A .

It is convenient to describe the group $K^{p,q}(A)$ in terms of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -gradings. A $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading on a $C^{p,q}$ module E is an involution which provides E with a $C^{p,q+1}$ module structure compatible with the given $C^{p,q}$ module structure.

One considers triples (E, ε, η) , where E is a $C^{p,q}$ A -module and ε, η are two independent $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -gradings. The group $K^{p,q}(A)$ is then generated by isomorphism classes of such triples with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (E, \varepsilon, \eta) + (E', \varepsilon', \eta') &= (E \oplus E', \varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon', \eta \oplus \eta') \\ (E, \varepsilon, \eta) &= 0 \text{ if } \varepsilon \text{ is homotopic to } \eta \text{ among the } \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-gradings.} \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume now that the Banach algebra A is provided with a continuous automorphism $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ of order 2. We emphasize that A is not necessarily a C^* algebra, one reason being that the element $1 + x\bar{x}$ might not be invertible.

For any pair of integers (p, q) , we associate two kinds of topological Hermitian K -groups to A , which we call $GW^{p,q}(A)$ and $U^{p,q}(A)$, respectively. (The first group was originally called $L^{p,q}(A)$ in [26].) These two definitions are in the same spirit as the previous definition of the group

$K^{p,q}(A)$. However, we should be careful about our definition of $C^{p,q}$ module in the Hermitian framework. We distinguish two cases:

1st case. The generators e_i and ε_j act by unitary operators, i.e., $u.u^* = 1$ with $u = e_i$ or ε_j . Such modules are called *Hermitian*; $\mathcal{Q}^{p,q}(A)$ denotes the category of Hermitian $C^{p,q}$ modules.

2nd case. The generators e_i and ε_j act by antiunitary operators, i.e., $u.u^* = -1$ with $u = e_i$ or ε_j . Such modules are called *skew-Hermitian*; $\mathcal{U}^{p,q}(A)$ denotes the category of skew Hermitian $C^{p,q}$ modules.

Equivalently, the Clifford algebra $C^{p,q}$ can be provided by the anti-involution defined by either $\overline{e}_i = -e_i, \overline{\varepsilon}_j = \varepsilon_j$ or $\overline{e}_i = e_i, \overline{\varepsilon}_j = -\varepsilon_j$. The categories $\mathcal{Q}^{p,q}(A)$ and $\mathcal{U}^{p,q}(A)$ are then defined more systematically as the categories of Hermitian modules over the ring $C^{p,q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A$, the two cases relying on the two possible anti-involutions on the Clifford algebra $C^{p,q}$.

Following the previous scheme for the groups $K^{p,q}$, we define the groups $GW^{p,q}(A)$ and $U^{p,q}(A)$ as the Grothendieck group of the restriction of scalars functors

$$\mathcal{Q}^{p,q+1}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{p,q}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}^{p,q+1}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{p,q}(A)$$

respectively. These groups can also be defined as homotopy groups of suitable homotopy fibers, denoted respectively by $\mathbb{G}W^{p,q}(A)$ and $\mathbb{U}^{p,q}(A)$. We now state the “fundamental theorem” of topological Hermitian K -theory [26]:

Theorem C.1. *We have natural homotopy equivalences*

$$\mathbb{G}W^{p,q+1}(A) \sim \Omega(\mathbb{G}W^{p,q}(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{U}^{p,q+1}(A) \sim \Omega(\mathbb{U}^{p,q}(A)).$$

Because of the periodicity of Clifford algebras [4] [25], the theorem above leads to 16 homotopy equivalences. It implies that the group $GW^{p,q}(A)$ is isomorphic to $GW_n^{\text{top}}(A)$ for $n = q - p \bmod 8$. In the same way, the group $U^{p,q}(A)$ is isomorphic to $U_n^{\text{top}}(A)$.

One of the most remarkable cases is $p = 0, q = 1$. The analysis of this case made in [26, p. 338] shows that the group ${}_{\varepsilon}U^{0,1}(A)$ may be identified with the so-called V -group ${}_{-\varepsilon}V^{0,0}(A)$ which is the Grothendieck group of the forgetful functor

$${}_{-\varepsilon}\mathcal{Q}^{0,0}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{0,0}(A).$$

We note here the change of symmetry between the U and V theories. This particular result has been greatly generalized for discrete rings [30] and more generally categories with duality [58].

Another case of interest is $p = 1, q = 0$. The following Lemma was stated without proof in [26].

Lemma C.2. *The group $U^{1,0}(A) = U_{-1}^{\text{top}}(A)$ is isomorphic to the Grothendieck group of the extension of scalars functor*

$$\mathcal{Q}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}).$$

Here \mathbb{C} is the field of complex numbers with the trivial involution.

Proof. An element of $U^{1,0}(A)$ is given by a quadruple $(E, J, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ where E is an Hermitian module, and $J, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ automorphisms such that $J^2 = -1, J^* = J, (\varepsilon_i)^2 = 1, J\varepsilon_i = -\varepsilon_i J$ and finally $(\varepsilon_i)^* = -\varepsilon_i$. To this quadruple one can associate bijectively another one (E, J, η_1, η_2) with $\eta_i = J\varepsilon_i$. One still has $(\eta_i)^2 = 1$ and $J\eta_i = -\eta_i J$, but now $(\eta_i)^* = \eta_i$. Therefore, the group $U^{1,0}(A)$ may be identified with the Grothendieck group of the functor

$$\mathcal{Q}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C^{1,1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C^{1,0})$$

where $C^{1,0} = \mathbb{C}$ has the trivial involution and $C^{1,1} = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ has the involution defined by $J^* = J$ and $\eta^* = \eta$ on the generators (with $J^2 = -1$ and $\eta^2 = 1$). By Morita equivalence, this functor coincides with the extension of the scalars functor above. \square

Another topological interpretation of this result is to consider the algebra B of continuous functions $f : S^1 \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(\bar{z}) = \overline{f(z)}$ and $f(1) = 0$. If we put $D^1 = [-1, 1]$ and $S^0 = \{-1, 1\}$, with the involution $x \mapsto -x$ as the analog of complex conjugation, we see by a topological deformation of $[-1, 1]$ into $\{0\}$ that the Hermitian K -theory of B is the same as the K -theory of the functor

$$\mathcal{Q}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C^{1,0})$$

which is therefore $U_{-1}^{\text{top}}(A)$, according to Lemma C.2.

Definition C.3. Let $A\langle z, z^{-1} \rangle$ the algebra of “Laurent” series $\sum a_n z^n$ with $a_n \in A$ and $\sum \|a_n\| < +\infty$.

Let A_z denote the subalgebra of $A\langle z, z^{-1} \rangle$ consisting of all series $\sum a_n z^n$ with $\sum a_n = 0$.

By the usual density theorem in K -theory [28, p. 109] and the theory of Fourier series, the group $GW_0(B)$ may be identified with $GW_0(A_z)$. Therefore, we get the following theorem.

Theorem C.4. *Let A be an involutive Banach algebra. Then we have a long exact sequence*

$$\rightarrow U_i^{\text{top}}(A) \rightarrow GW_i^{\text{top}}(A) \rightarrow GW_i(A\langle z, z^{-1} \rangle) \rightarrow U_{i-1}^{\text{top}}(A) \rightarrow$$

Remark C.5. The identification of $U_{-1}^{\text{top}}(A)$ with $GW_0(A_z)$ in the previous theorem is the topological analog of a theorem in (algebraic) Hermitian K -theory for any discrete ring A : the group $U_{-1}(A)$ is inserted in an exact sequence, analogous to the sequence of the fundamental theorem in algebraic K -theory,

$$0 \rightarrow GW_0(A) \rightarrow \begin{matrix} GW_0(A[z]) \oplus \\ GW_0(A[z^{-1}]) \end{matrix} \rightarrow GW_0(A[z, z^{-1}]) \rightarrow U_{-1}(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

See [27, p. 390] and also [23] for a more recent approach.

We apply these general considerations to the following situation. We start with a compact space X with an involution, i.e., an action of the cyclic group $G = C_2$. Following again Atiyah's terminology, we call X a Real space and we let $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ denote the involution. In this situation, our basic algebra A will be the algebra of complex continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(\bar{x}) = \overline{f(x)}$. It is well known that $K_0(A)$ is Atiyah's Real K -theory, $KR(X)$ (see [28, Ex. III.7.16d] and [36]). On the other hand, $GW_0(A)$ is $GR(X)$, which is isomorphic to the usual equivariant KO -theory, $KO_G(X)$, by Theorem 2.2.

Finally, the group $GW_0(A_z)$ may be interpreted as the relative group $KO_G(X \times D^1, X \times S^0) = KO_G(X \times S^1, X)$, where $D^1 = [-1, 1]$, $S^0 = \{-1, 1\}$ and S^1 have the involution $t \mapsto -t$. A more synthetic version of this last definition is simply $KO_G(X \times \mathbb{R})$ which is KO_G -theory with compact supports, \mathbb{R} being also provided with the involution $t \mapsto -t$. A corollary of this discussion is the following seemingly unknown link between KR -theory and KO_G -theory, which we shall use in Theorem 4.3 of the text.

Theorem C.6. *Let X be a compact space with involution. Then we have the following exact sequence*

$$\rightarrow KR(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} KO_G(X \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow KR^1(X) \rightarrow KO_G^1(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} ,$$

where the map γ is induced by the cup-product with a generator of $KO_G(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, where the involution acts as -1 on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. This sequence is the exact sequence of U -theory, with the substitutions $KO_G(X)$ for $GR(X)$ and $KO_G(X \times \mathbb{R})$ for $U^1(X)$. Since γ is a map of $KO_G(X)$ -modules, it is the (external) cup product with an element of $KO_G(X \times \mathbb{R})$. By naturality in X , it is the cup product with an element of $KO_G(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. To see that this element is a generator, we may choose X to be a point. Since $KR^1(X) = KO^1(X) = 0$, γ is surjective in this case, as required. \square

Remark C.7. If X is a “nice” G -space (i.e. with orbits having equivariant tubular neighborhoods), it is possible to give an elementary proof of the previous theorem by reducing it to the two extreme cases of a free G action and of a trivial G action. We leave this as an exercise for the reader.

As seen in Theorem 2.2, the cokernel of the map $KR(X) \rightarrow KO_G(X)$ is the Real Witt group $WR(X)$. Therefore, Theorem C.6 implies the following corollary which we shall use in the computations of the Witt group of real smooth projective curves (Section 4).

Corollary C.8. *As a $KO_G(X)$ module, the Real Witt group $WR(X)$ is a submodule of $KO_G(X \times \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, if the map $KR^1(X) \rightarrow KO_G^1(X)$ is injective, we have $WR(X) \cong KO_G(X \times \mathbb{R})$.*

APPENDIX D. WILLIAMS' CONJECTURE FOR BANACH ALGEBRAS

Finally, although we don't need it for our applications, the following theorem is worth mentioning; it is related to the considerations in our Section 5 and provides a more conceptual proof of Theorem 5.2.

For a (real) Banach algebra with involution, denote by ${}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(A)$ its Bott periodic topological hermitian K-theory spectrum [26] with underlying Ω^{∞} -loop space homotopy equivalent to ${}_{\varepsilon}GW_0(A) \times B_{\varepsilon}O_{\text{top}}(A)$ and deloopings obtained using topological suspensions. Here ${}_{\varepsilon}O_{\text{top}}(A)$ is the ε -orthogonal group of A equipped with the topology induced from A . Similarly, denote by $\mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}(A)$ its Bott periodic topological K -theory.

Theorem D.1. *Let A be an arbitrary (real) Banach algebra with involution (not necessarily C^*). We then have a 2-adic homotopy equivalence*

$${}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(A) \simeq \mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}(A)^{h_{\varepsilon}G}$$

where $h_{\varepsilon}G$ denotes the space of homotopy fixed points for the ε action of G which is detailed in [10, p. 808].

Proof. Let A be a (real) Banach algebra with involution. Recall from [58, §10] the spectrum

$$GW_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A) = |GW^{[n]}(A\Delta_{\text{top}}^*)|$$

which is the realization of the simplicial spectrum $q \mapsto GW^{[n]}(A\Delta_{\text{top}}^q)$ of the n -th shifted Grothendieck-Witt spectrum of the discrete ring $A\Delta_{\text{top}}^q$ of continuous functions $\Delta_{\text{top}}^q \rightarrow A$ from the standard topological q -simplex Δ_{top}^q to A . It's connective cover is equivalent to the connective cover of ${}_{\varepsilon}\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(A)$ (when $n = 0 \bmod 4$ and $\varepsilon = 1$, or $n = 2 \bmod 4$ and $\varepsilon = -1$); see [58, Prop. 10.2]. Its negative homotopy groups are the Balmer Witt groups of A (considered as a discrete ring); see [58, Remark 10.4]. The spectrum $GW_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A)$ is a module spectrum over the ring spectrum $GW_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$. Recall that $\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A)$ denotes the module spectrum which has the same connective cover as $GW_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A)$ but with negative homotopy groups obtained through deloopings using topological suspensions. There is a map of module spectra $GW_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A)$ since the source naturally maps to the version with deloopings constructed via algebraic suspension [58, §8] which naturally maps to $\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A)$. Let $K_{\text{top}}(A)$ and $\mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}(A)$ denote the corresponding topological K -theory versions. For instance $K_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ is connective topological real vector bundle K -theory and $\mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ is Bott periodic topological real vector bundle K -theory. We have a map of ring spectra $\mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ since the hermitian K -theory of positive definite forms is equivalent to $\mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ and canonically maps to $\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ and hence $\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(A)$ are Bott-periodic with period 8, and $\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(A)$ is obtained from $GW_{\text{top}}(A)$ by inverting the Bott element $\beta \in \pi_8 GW_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $\eta \in GW_{-1}^{[-1]}(\mathbb{R}) = W(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}$ be a generator. In [58, Thm. 7.6] it is proved that the following square of spectra is homotopy cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A)[\eta^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A))^{hC_2} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}^{[n]}(A))^{hC_2}[\eta^{-1}]. \end{array}$$

Strictly speaking an algebraic version of this statement was proved. However, [58, Thm. 7.6] is a formal consequence of [58, Thm. 6.1] which holds for the topological versions considered here since simplicial realization and inverting the Bott element preserves fibre sequences of spectra.

Let ν be an integer $\nu \geq 1$. From the homotopy cartesian square above, we obtain the homotopy cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}^{[n]}/2^\nu(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}^{[n]}/2^\nu(A)[\eta^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}^{[n]}/2^\nu(A))^{hC_2} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}^{[n]}/2^\nu(A))^{hC_2}[\eta^{-1}]. \end{array}$$

We will show that the right vertical map is an equivalence simply by showing that the two right hand terms are zero. This clearly implies that the left vertical map is a weak equivalence. Since the diagram is a diagram of $\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$ -module spectra, we are now done by the following lemma. \square

Lemma D.2. *For each $\nu > 0$, η is nilpotent in $\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}/2^\nu(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Consider the element $u = \beta\eta^8 \in GW_0(\mathbb{R}) = \pi_0\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R})$. Under the map of ring spectra $\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{C})$, the element u goes to zero simply because $\eta^8 \in \pi_{-8}\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is in the image of the zero map

$$\pi_{-8}GW(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \pi_{-8}GW(\mathbb{C}) = W(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \pi_{-8}\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

As rings, the map $GW_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GW_0(\mathbb{C})$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2 = 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} : \varepsilon \mapsto 1$ where ε corresponds to the form $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (x, y) \mapsto -xy$. So $u = a(1 - \varepsilon)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have $u^2 = a^2(1 - \varepsilon)^2 = 2a^2(1 - \varepsilon)$ since $\varepsilon^2 = 1$. Hence for $m = 2\nu$, we have $\beta^m\eta^{8 \cdot (m)} = u^{(m)} = (u^2)^\nu = 2^\nu a^{(m)}(1 - \varepsilon)^\nu$ in $GW_0(\mathbb{R})$. Since β is a unit, we are done. \square

Note that the same argument for complex Banach algebras A with involution gives an integral equivalence

$$\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{K}_{\text{top}}(A))^{hC_2}$$

simply because a power of η is zero in $\mathbb{G}W_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{C})$; see proof of Lemma D.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams, Vector fields on spheres, *Annals Math.* 75 (1962), 603–632.
- [2] Carlos Andradas, Ludwig Bröcker, and Jesús M. Ruiz. *Constructible sets in real geometry*, volume 33 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [3] M. Atiyah, K -theory and Reality, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* 17 (1966), 367–386.
- [4] M. Atiyah, R. Bott and A. Shapiro, Clifford modules, *Topology* 3 (1964), 3–38.
- [5] P. Balmer, Derived Witt groups of a scheme, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 141 (1999), 101–129.
- [6] P. Balmer, Triangular Witt groups II. From usual to derived. *Math. Zeit.* 236 (2001), 351–382.
- [7] P. Balmer and C. Walter, A Gersten-Witt spectral sequence for regular schemes, *Ann. Sci. ENS* 35 (2002), 127–152.
- [8] H. Bass, Unitary algebraic K -theory, pp.57–265 in *Algebraic K-theory III*, Lecture Notes in Math. 343, Springer, 1973.
- [9] H. Bass, Clifford algebras and spinor norms over a commutative ring, *Amer. J. Math.* 96, (1974), 156–206.
- [10] A.J. Berrick and M. Karoubi, Hermitian K -theory of the integers, *Amer. Journal Math.* 127 (2005), 785–823.
- [11] A.J. Berrick, M. Karoubi, M. Schlichting and P.A. Østvær, The homotopy fixed point theorem and the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture in Hermitian K -theory, *Adv. Math.* 278 (2015), 34–55. arXiv:1011.4977.
- [12] J. Bochnak, M. Coste and M.-F. Roy, *Real algebraic geometry*, translated and revised from *Géométrie algébrique réelle*, Ergebnisse Band 12, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [13] G. Brumfiel, Witt rings and K -theory, *Rocky Mtn. J. Math.* 14 (1984), 733–765. MR0773113 (86h:18004).
- [14] G. W. Brumfiel, The real spectrum of an ideal and KO -theory exact sequences, *K-Theory* 1 (1987), 211–235.
- [15] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc, Fibrés quadratiques et composantes connexes réelles, *Math. Ann.* 244 (1979), 105–134.
- [16] M.-F. Coste-Roy and M. Coste, Le spectre étale réel d’un anneau est spatial, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 290 (1980), A91–A94.
- [17] D. Dugger and D. Isaksen, Topological hypercovers and \mathbb{A}^1 -realizations, *Math. Z.*, 246 (2004), 667–689.
- [18] R. Elman, N. Karpenko and A. Merkurjev, *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, AMS Colloq. Pub. 56, AMS, 2008.
- [19] E. Friedlander, Computations of K -theories of finite fields, *Topology* 15 (1976), 87–109.
- [20] C. Haesemeyer, Descent properties of homotopy K -theory, *Duke Math. J.* 125 (2004), 589–620.
- [21] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, 1977.
- [22] J. Heller and M. Voineagu, Equivariant semi-topological invariants, Atiyah’s KR -theory, and real algebraic cycles. *Trans. AMS* 364 (2012), 6565–6603.
- [23] J. Hornbostel and M. Schlichting, Localization in Hermitian K -theory of rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* 70 (2004), 77–124.
- [24] J. Jacobson, *On higher signatures for the derived Witt groups*, preprint, 2014.
- [25] M. Karoubi, Algèbres de Clifford et K -théorie, *Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4e Sér.* 1 (1968), 161–270.
- [26] M. Karoubi, Périodicité de la K -théorie hermitienne, *Springer Lecture Notes* Nr. 343 (1973).

- [27] M. Karoubi, Localisation de formes quadratiques I, *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4)* 7 (1974), 359–404.
- [28] M. Karoubi, *K-theory: An introduction*, Grundlehren 226, Springer-Verlag, 1978. Reprinted 2008 with list of errata.
- [29] M. Karoubi, Théorie de Quillen et homologie du groups orthogonal, *Annals of Math.* 112 (1980), 207–257.
- [30] M. Karoubi, Le théorème fondamental de la K -théorie hermitienne, *Annals of Math.* 112 (1980), 259–282.
- [31] M. Karoubi, A descent theorem in topological K -theory, *K-theory* 24 (2001), 109–114.
- [32] M. Karoubi, Periodicity of Hermitian K -theory and Milnor’s K -groups, *AMS Contemporary Math.* 344 (2004), 197–206.
- [33] M. Karoubi, Stabilization of the Witt group, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 342 (2006), 165–168.
- [34] M. Karoubi and C. Weibel, Algebraic and Real K -theory of Real varieties, *Topology* 42 (2003), 715–742.
- [35] M. Karoubi and C. Weibel, On the covering type of a CW complex, in preparation, 2015.
- [36] M. Karoubi and C. Weibel, Twisted K -theory, Real A -bundles and Grothendieck-Witt groups, in preparation, 2015.
- [37] F. Klein, Über Realitätsverhältnisse bei der einem beliebigen Geschlechte zugehörigen Normalkurve der φ , *Math. Annalen* 42 (1892), 1–29.
- [38] W. Klingenberg, Symplectic Groups Over Local Rings, *Amer. J. Math.* 85 (1963), 232–240.
- [39] M. Knebusch, Isometrien über semilokalen Ringen. *Math. Z.*, 108:255–268, 1969.
- [40] M. Knebusch, On Algebraic Curves over Real Closed Fields II, *Math. Zeitschrift* 151 (1976), 189–205.
- [41] M. Knebusch, Symmetric bilinear forms over algebraic varieties, pp. 103–283 in *Queen’s Papers in Pure Appl. Math.* 46, Queen’s Univ., 1977.
- [42] M. Knebusch, Some open problems, pp.361–370 in *Queen’s Papers in Pure Appl. Math.* 46, Queen’s Univ., 1977.
- [43] L. Mahé, Théorème de Pfister pour les variétés et anneaux de Witt réduits, *Invent. Math.* 85 (1986), 53–72.
- [44] L. Mahé, Signatures et composantes connexes réelles, *Math. Ann.* 260 (1982), 191–210.
- [45] L. Mahé, Sommes de carrés et anneaux de Witt réduits, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 300 (I) (1985), 5–7.
- [46] L. Mahé and J. Houdebine, Séparation des composantes connexes réelles dans le cas des variétés projectives, pp. 358–370 in *Lecture Notes in Math.* 959, Springer, 1982.
- [47] J. Milnor and D. Husemoller. *Symmetric bilinear forms*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Band 73.
- [48] W. Pardon, A relation between Witt groups and zero-cycles in a regular ring, pp. 261–328 in *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1046, Springer, 1984.
- [49] R. Parimala, Witt groups of affine 3-folds, *Duke Math. J.* 57 (1988), 947–954.
- [50] C. Pedrini and C. Weibel, Invariants of real curves, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Torino* 49 (1991), 139–173.
- [51] C. Pedrini and C. Weibel, The higher K -theory of real curves, *K-theory* 27 (2002), 1–31.

- [52] A. Rosenschon and Paul Arne Østvær, The homotopy limit problem for two-primary algebraic K -theory, *Topology* 44 (2005), 1159–1179.
- [53] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, volume 270 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [54] C. Scheiderer, *Real and étale cohomology*, volume 1588 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [55] M. Schlichting, Delooping the K -theory of exact categories, *Topology* 43 (2004), 1089–1103.
- [56] M. Schlichting, Hermitian K -theory of exact categories, *J. K-theory* 5 (2010), 105–165.
- [57] M. Schlichting, The Mayer-Vietoris principle for Grothendieck-Witt groups of schemes, *Invent. Math.* 179 (2010), 349–433.
- [58] M. Schlichting, Hermitian K -theory, derived equivalences and Karoubi’s fundamental theorem, arXiv:1209.0848v2 (26 May 2016).
- [59] C. Schoen, Complex varieties for which the Chow group mod n is not finite, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 11 (2002), 41–100.
- [60] R. Sujatha, Witt groups of real projective surfaces, *Math. Ann.* 288 (1990), 89–101.
- [61] B. Totaro, Non-injectivity of the map from the Witt group of a variety to the Witt group of its function field, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 2 (2003), 483–493.
- [62] B. Totaro, Complex varieties with infinite Chow groups modulo 2, preprint, 2015. arXiv:1502.02171
- [63] C. Walter, Grothendieck-Witt groups of triangulated categories, preprint, 2003. <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/643/>
- [64] C. Weibel, Homotopy algebraic K -theory, *AMS Contemp. Math.* 83 (1989), 461–488.
- [65] C. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge U. Press, 1994.
- [66] C. Weibel, *The K-book*, Grad. Studies in Math. 145, AMS, 2013.
- [67] G. Weichold, Ueber symmetrische Riemann’sche Flächen und die Periodicitätsmoduln der zugehörigen Abel’schen Normalintegrale erster Gattung, *Zeitschrift f.Math.u.Phys* 28 (1883), 321–351
- [68] B. Williams, Quadratic K -theory and geometric topology, pp. 611–651 in *Handbook of K-theory*, Vol. 2, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [69] E. Witt, Zerlegung reeller algebraischer Funktionen in Quadrate, *J. reine angew. Math.* 1771 (1934), 4–11.

UNIVERSITÉ DENIS DIDEROT PARIS 7, INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE DE JUSSIEU
— PARIS RIVE GAUCHE

E-mail address: max.karoubi@gmail.com

URL: <http://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~max.karoubi>

MATH. INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY CV4 7AL, UK

E-mail address: M.Schlichting@warwick.ac.uk

URL: <http://http://homepages.warwick.ac.uk/~masiap>

MATH. DEPT., RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08901, USA

E-mail address: weibel@math.rutgers.edu

URL: <http://math.rutgers.edu/~weibel>